Thesis Examination Committee at each graduate school, etc

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
	Department of Human Philosophy	the end of the academic year preceding the submission of the thesis and must be approved by the Doctoral Thesis Screening Committee and the Graduate School of Humanities Faculty	of four or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be the supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee must not be composed solely of faculty members of the same course. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools, departments, or research institutes at this university may be included in the screening committee. In this case, only one such person may be included as one of the three or more Examiners.	(Cases when external faculty members are appointed to the screening committee) If the presence of an authoritative scholar of the main research topic from outside the University is deemed necessary to properly evaluate the thesis	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Frovision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics The thesis must contain a systematically organized, persuasive argument, with a consistent awareness of the issues in question. 	 [First year] (1) After entering the doctoral program, students select their supervisors and assistant supervisors (including these outside the course) and submit a doctoral research plan with their approval. (2) Graduate School of Humanities Faculty Council: Approves the appointment of supervisors and assistant supervisors and the composition of a Doctoral Thesis Guidance Committee (3) Students submit their doctoral thesis plans to their supervisors. (4) Doctoral Thesis Guidance Committee: Reviews the doctoral thesis plans submitted by students [Second year] (5) Graduate School of Humanities Faculty Council: The Doctoral Thesis Guidance Committee presents its review results, and following approval of the same, it grants the preliminary qualification for doctoral thesis preparation. (6) Doctoral Thesis Guidance Committee: Progress reports from students. [Third year] (7) Doctoral Thesis Guidance Committee: Approves the submission of doctoral theses and requests for thesis review (8) Graduate School of Humanities Faculty Council: Deliberates on and appoints a Thesis Screening Committee (9) Thesis Screening Committee: Oral examinations and public hearings will be held by the Thesis Screening Committee members comprised as detailed above. (10) Thesis Screening Committee: The results of the review are presented to the Graduate School of Humanities Faculty Council: Takes the decision regarding the awarding of doctoral degrees 	
Graduate School of Humanities	Department of History and Geography	<pre></pre>					
	Department of Language and Literature	<pre></pre> (0) A doctoral thesis plan must be submitted by the end of the academic year preceding the submission of the thesis and must be approved by the Doctoral Thesis Screening Committee and the Graduate School of Humanities Faculty Council. (2) Approval must be obtained for the submission of the doctoral thesis and the application for review of the doctoral thesis from the Doctoral Thesis Screening Committee. (Japanese Linguistics / Japanese Literature: Japanese Linguistics / Japanese Literature: Three or more papers (including one or more in a refereed academic journal) Chinese Literature: Three or more papers (including one or more in a refereed academic journal) (Western Literature) English Linguistics / English Literature: Four or more papers (including two or more English- language papers, two or more in a refereed academic journal) German Literature: Three or more papers French Literature: Three or more papers French Literature: Three or more papers (Linguistics: Two or more papers: three or more conference presentations *Doctoral students must pass two interim reviews conducted by their advisors and assistant advisors.					

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduuatte Schoodfi official Schoodfi official Scchoodfi official Scci en ccess for	Department of Integrated Sciences for Global Society	This school provides interdisciplinary education and has a wide range of student research themes. The guidelines for the submission criteria are shown below. However, the specific criteria (i.e., the required number of papers and conference presentations, conditions relating to authorship. Language. etc.) shall be determined separately according to each research topic. When doctoral students submit their first research proposal after admission or the advisors will consult and decide on the submission criteria. At this time, the criteria set may be more or less stringent than the guidelines. In addition to the applicant's academic achievements, the advisors will decide on the documents to be submitted by him/her in consideration. Doctorate (Science) Two or three papers (including one or more peer-reviewed paper-generally in English-in which the applicant is the first author) Doctorate (Ph.D.) One to three papers (including one or more peer-reviewed papers) or equivalent research results	selected by a vote at the graduate school's Faculty Council based on the recommendation of the supervisors. Screening committee members may include university faculty members from graduate schools other than this school, as	appointed to the screening committee) There are no special conditions. However,	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Applicants should submit their thesis applications along with qualifying documents to their supervisors in advance and undergo screening so as to determine whether they qualify. Those so approved will become candidates to be qualified for thesis submission. Gradidates to be qualified for thesis submission should submit their application along with documents to the Dean of the Graduate School. Faculty Council: Once applications along with qualifying documents for thesis submission have been accepted, a Preliminary Screening Committee (comprising one Examination Committee Chair and four Examiners) will be constituted at the Faculty Council. With the establishment of the Preliminary Screening Committee, applicants gain the qualification to submit their theses. Preliminary Screening Committee: The Preliminary Screening Committee reviews preliminary review theses. This must take place within six months from the date of the meeting of the Faculty Council. If a thesis is acceptable upon revision, the Committee will re-screen the resubmitted thesis. The Examination Committee Chair of the Preliminary Screening Committee reports the completion of the preliminary review to the Dean of the Graduate School. Within one to six months) Faculty Council: The Faculty Council will deliberate as to whether to accept the doctoral thesis. As a general rule, the Preliminary Screening Committee's duties will be transferred to the Thesis Screening Committee. Thesis accreaning committee: Screening of the thesis and the final examination will be conducted in an open hearing. The screening committee. The screening committee. The screening committee. Screening Committee: Screening of the thesis and the final examination will be conducted in an open hearing. The screening committee. The screening committee. The screening com	
Graduate Schoool of Human–Environmeent Studies	Department of Urban Design, Planning, and Disaster Management	Must have one or more published papers as the first author in a peer-reviewed academic journal. However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper(s) to be scheduled for publication (i.e. unpublished) when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date.	The thesis screening committee shall be composed of three or more thesis screening committee Ombers, including one Examination Committee Chair (hereafter referred to as "screening committee members"). In this case, the Examination Committee Chair and one or more screening committee members shall be selected from among the supervisors in the department to which the student belongs, and one or more of the others shall be selected from among supervisors at other graduate schools or universities. Note that with regard to the above internal regulations, screening committee Mair serve as Examiners.	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) When the graduate school's Faculty Council considers it necessary. Specific examples include cases in which a person who is deeply knowledgeable in a field relevant to the thesis and who is suitable to be a member of the thesis screening committee comes from outside the University or where the supervisor of a doctoral student is transferred to another university while he/she is still enrolled at this university.	meetings in a disciplined manner and conform to department agreements in cases where there are no particular problems.	As indicated on the website of the Graduate School of Human-Environment Studies, faculty members implement the criteria agreed at departmenta meetings in a disciplined manner, and there are no particular problems. Based on the description follows. Thesis submission: The main supervisor of the student whose thesis is to be reviewed will convene a (Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately four weaks) Chronis for an entry for the student whose thesis is to be reviewed will convene a (Provisional) Thesis 1 (Approximately four weaks) Chronis for an entry for an entry for the optimization of the student requesting a thesis review belongs (the applicant's an entry entry the Chronison and or other an addition to one or more supervisors from the department to which the student requesting a thesis review belongs (the applicant's department, as well as one or more supervisors from other department, other graduate schools, and/or other universities. The (Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee conducts a preliminary screening of the thesis effectively and discusses thether or not the Preliminary Screening Committee is composed of faculty members in the rank of lecturer or above in the department, to which the student requesting a thesis review belongs. After receiving an explanation or the department, as wells as one romic supervisors. The Preliminary Screening Committee is review belongs. After receiving an explanation of the contents of the dectoral the from the main supervisor. The function requesting a thesis review submits his/her doctoral thesis and related documents to the Bean of the Graduate School through the head of their department. 1 (Approximately two weeks) Treates the spherose: The optimise Screening Committee and Thesis Review Committee. and if approved, it will set up the Thesis Screening Committee screens to the depart whether the cotoral thesis. and if approved, its will set up the Thesis Screening Committee screens the thesis and selects th	In this department, in collaboration with the Department of Architecture, the following applies with regard to outstanding research records in Article 16(1). 16(3) and 19(2) of the Rules of the Graduate School of Human- Environment Studies (shortened enrollment period) with regard to the requisite qualifications to apply for a doctoral thesis at the graduate school. "Outstanding records" refers to any of the following achievements recognized at a joint meeting of the Department of Urban Design, Planning and Disaster Management and the Department of Architecture at a level sufficiently high in fields related to this department. 1. Two or more published papers (including one as the first author) in a peer-reviewed journal or internationally acclaimed conference proceedings. 2. One published paper in a peer-reviewed journal in addition to one of the following outstanding results: (1) Architectural work(s) related to the research (2) Books in the relevant field of study (3) Patent(s) related to the research (4) Research eward(s) from an academic society in a related field Academic papers are acceptable if suitable for publication (even if unpublished)

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G radu at e S c h o o I o f H u m a n - E n	Department of Clinical Psychology and Community Studies (Community Studies)	Nust have one or more published paper in a peer-reviewed journal of a national academic organization Must have two or more published papers other than the above, whether peer- reviewed or not However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper (s) to be scheduled for publication (i.e. unpublished) when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date.	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee Chair) will be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may serve as screening committee members.	<pre>(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) If a thesis covers specialized fields that cannot be fully addressed by University faculty members. faculty members from outside the University may be asked to join the thesis screening committee.</pre>	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Frovision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	Supervisory Council: All professors qualified to supervise the Community Studies course, as well as associate professors and full-time lecturers qualified to supervise the thesis in question, will meet to approve the initiation of the thesis screening process.	
v i o n m e n t S t u d	Department of Clinical Psychology and Community Studies (Clinical Psychology)	The qualifications of the applicant will be examined via lecture and approved on the basis of the number of peer-reviewed papers published in journals affiliated with the Japanese Union of Psychological Associations, the American Psychological Association, and the British Psychological Association. Three or more peer- reviewed papers.	Examiners. One Examiner shall be from another		 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Frovision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The research existing compliance with research ethics 	 The Preliminary Screening Committee meeting in the department is followed by deliberation on thesis acceptance and on establishment of Doctoral Thesis Screening Committee at the Faculty Council. (After approval by Faculty Council) Thesis reviewed and public defense convened by Doctoral Thesis Screening Committee (After completion) Report from Screening Committee to department followed by deliberation on awarding of doctoral degrees (After approximately two weeks) Thesis review results are reported to the Faculty Council, and deliberation is requested. (Subsequent Faculty Council) Deliberation on review results and approval for awarding degrees at the Faculty Council 	
i e s	Department of Behavior and Health Sciences (Psychology Program)	(1) Term: Those who have completed the doctoral program no more than three years ago (2) Submission criteria: Two peer-reviewed papers and one literature review paper (Note that any of the following may be considered one peer-reviewed paper: books (single-authorship): two presentations at an international conference (reviewed): or awards from an academic society.)	the Examination Committee Chair) shall be composed of four or more members. (The Examination Committee Chair is not subject to particular conditions but is usually the supervisor in the department to which the student belongs.)		 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Qualifications Review Committee: Upon consultation, faculty members (professors and associate professors) of the Psychology Department approve the requisite qualifications for doctoral thesis submission and the establishment of a Preliminary Review Committee (including its members). Preliminary Screening Committee: Members of the Supervisory Council approve the submission of the thesis and the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the specified documents to the relevant office. Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council determines whether to accept the thesis. Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. Thesis Screening Committee: Members of the Thesis Screening Committee conduct thesis screening and the final examination and decide whether to approve applications. Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the final decision on whether to award a doctoral degree. Note that the required period for each stage is not specified. Based on past experience, the whole process is estimated to take at least three months. 	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduate School of Hum	Department of Behavior and Health Sciences (Health and Sport Science Course)	Nust have two or more papers in peer-reviewed journals However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper(s) to be scheduled for publication (i.e., unpublished) at the time of applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date. Note that journals published for internal readerships at universities are not considered peer-reviewed papers with regard to application qualifications.	members must be selected from the supervisors in the department to which the student belongs, and one or more of the others must be selected from among supervisors in other departments or	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) When there are no faculty members at the University with the necessary expertise to evaluate the content of the doctoral thesis.	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	In-Lecture Presentations: Students submitting their theses report on the content of their theses publicly. 1 (Approximately one week or less) Preliminary Screening Committee: A departmental meeting is convened to approve the submission of the thesis and the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately four weeks or less) Application for Thesis Review: The student submitting the thesis and the department head must submit the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately 2 weeks) Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council determines whether to accept the thesis and approves the establishment of a Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately one to four weeks) Thesis Screening Committee: Members of the Thesis Screening Committee approved by the Graduate School Faculty Council conduct the thesis screening and the final examination and decide whether the application is to be approved. Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the chief examiner applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. 1 (Approximately one week or less) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the specified documents to the head of the department. 1 (Approximately one week or less) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the specified documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week or less) Report of Review Results: The head of the department and assistant examiners from other departments decide whethe the application is to be approved. 1 (Approximately one week or less) Report of Review Results: The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the decision on the awarding of doctoral degrees.	
n – Environment Studies	Department of Education	 Nust have one or more papers published in the journal of a national academic society with a referee system However, the purpose and nature of this academic society and its methods of assessment must be clearly stated at a departmental meeting. For articles in non-Japanese journals, judgment will be made based on whother the journal is registered with the Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI), taking into account the context of each field as needed. Must have published three or more papers other than those mentioned above The above two conditions must be met while submitting a doctoral thesis. 	composed of four or more members including the Examination Committee Chair, and the Examination Committee Chair, shall be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) • To enable a review of the content of the thesis in terms of research, with a high level of expertise	 The thesis demonstrates an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field. Critical malysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Research has been planned and carried out independently, and research findings have been presented in Japan and other countries. The thesis has contributed to the creation of new knowledge through original research from scientific, academic, and international perspectives. It contains explanations that use scientifically and academic and yaccurate terminology. The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Preliminary Screening Committee (Departmental Meeting): Based on the applicant's academic history and research achievements, qualifications are granted for a presentation on the concept of the doctoral thesis. Doctoral Thesis Concept Presentation: The first Wednesday in July or November or the fourth Wednesday in March (3) Thesis Guidance Committee (several times): Guidance/supervision provided by the Thesis Guidance Committee (4) Preliminary Screening Committee (Departmental Meeting): Deliberation regarding the applicant's qualifications for acceptance of their thesis Approval of Thesis Acceptance: The faculty member along with the applicant gives an outline of the thesis based on the abstract, followed by deliberations on approval of the thesis acceptance and selection of a Thesis Screening Committee. Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council decides whether to accept the thesis and approves the establishment of the Thesis Screening Committee. (As a rule, for the decision regarding the awarding of the degree to take place within the same academic year, the thesis befense: The Examination Committee Chair applicant and the members of the Screening Committee consider any questions and conduct the final examination. (9) Thesis Review Committee (Departmental Meeting): Decides on approval of the application based on the public thesis defense. (Lip Review Results: The Examination Committee, Chair submitts the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Up to 10 days prior to the Faculty Council) (11) Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the decision on the awarding of doctoral degrees. Note that the required period for each stage is not specified. Based on past experience, the whole process is estimated to take at least three months. 	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduate School of Human-Environment Studies	Department of Architecture	Nust have one or more published papers as the first author in a peer-reviewed academic journal. However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper(s) to be scheduled for publication (i.e. unpublished) when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date.	Article 5, Paragraph 2 of the Doctoral Thesis Review Regulations of the Graduate School of Human- Environment Studies, Kyushu University stipulates the following: The thesis screening committee shall be composed of three or more thesis screening committee Chair (hereafter referred to as "screening committee members"). In this case, the Examination Committee Chair and one or more screening committee members shall be selected from among the supervisors in the department to which the student belongs, and one or more of the others shall be selected from among supervisors at other graduate schools or universities. Note that with regard to the above internal regulations, screening committee Chair serve as Examiners.	<pre>(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) When the graduate school's Faculty Council considers it necessary. Specific examples include cases in which a person who is deeply knowledgeable in a field relevant to the thesis and who is suitable to be a member of the thesis screening committee comes from outside the University or where the supervisor of a doctoral student is transferred to another university while he/she is still enrolled at this university.</pre>	Faculty members implement the oriteria agreed at departmental agreements in cases where there are no particular problems. Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Oritical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results For original research Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	As indicated on the website of the Graduate School of Hum-Environment Studies, faculty members implement the original speed at degrational networks in a discipline and merr, and there are no particular processors of the department is as follow. Thesis submission: The main supervisor of the student whose thesis is to be reviewed will convene a (Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately four weeks) (Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee: The (Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee is composed of a total of three or more thesis screening committee members consisting of the applicant's main supervisor as the Examination Committee Chair in addition to one or more supervisors from the department to which the student requesting a thesis review belongs (the applicant's department), as well as one or more supervisors from the departments, other graduate schools, and/or other universities. The (Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee should be convened. If deemed appropriate, the main supervisor will request the department to convene the Preliminary Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately two weeks) Preliminary Screening Committee: The Preliminary Screening Committee approves the doctoral thesis from the main supervisor, the Preliminary Screening Committee approves the compatiton of a Thesis Screening Committee as proposed of the Graduate School through the thesis approves the compatiton of a Thesis Screening Committee as proposed by the main supervisor. The student requesting a thesis review submits his/her doctoral thesis and related documents to the Dean of the Graduate School through the head of their department. 1 (Approximately tow weeks) Thesis Screening Committee: The Thesis Screening Committee as proposed of a total of three or more screening committee members consisting of the applicant's main supervisor stom the department, in addition to one or more supervisors from the applicant's main supervisor stom the thesis and elestica the location of where the final examination. W	following achievements recognized at a joint meeting of the Department of Urban Design. Planning and Disaster Management and the Department of Architecture at a level sufficiently high in fields related to this department. 1. Two or more published papers (including one as the first author) in a peer-reviewed journal or internationally acclaimed conference proceedings 2. One published paper in a peer-reviewed journal in addition to one of the following outstanding results: (1) Architectural work(s) related to the research

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G r a d u a t e S c h o o l o f L a w		 Doctoral thesis submission criteria After conducting progress report meetings (open) at least once per year until the year before the submission of the thesis and a public interim report meeting on the doctoral thesis draft. the Preliminary Screening Committee will review and advice on the doctoral thesis draft. 	from among the professors and associate	research topic of the thesis, the faculty members at the University alone are not equipped for the review process, and it is appropriate to have a faculty member from	 Ine researcher s compliance with research ethlos Review criteria of the Graduate School of Law (Excerpt) Significance of the research topic Is the topic clearly articulated and recognized as having academic or social significance? Validity of the research method Is the method adopted to explore the research topic appropriate? Oriticism of previous research appropriately compiled and presented, with precise understanding and accurate evaluation? Novelty and originality Does the thesis present and prove new findings that are not demonstrated in previous studies? Thesis style and format Are thering the appropriate? Basis accurate values are the studies? 	Progress Report Meeting: Students report on the progress of their research at a public progress report meeting at least once a year until the year before submitting the thesis. I (Approximately 10 to 11 months) Doctoral Thesis Interim Report Meeting Degree applicants report on draft doctoral theses at public interim report meetings that are attended by the main and assistant supervisors. I (Approximately one month) Establishment of the Preliminary Screening Committee The Faculty Council of the Graduate School of Law selects the members of the Preliminary Screening Committee (i.e., one Examination Committee Chair and two or three Examiners). I (Approximately one month) Submission of Doctoral Thesis Draft The applicant submits a draft doctoral thesis. I (Approximately one month) Convening of Preliminary Screening Committee Following a review of the thesis, the Preliminary Screening Committee will convene. The members of the Preliminary Screening Committee will provide the applicant advice regarding thesis submission and specific advice on any necessary revisions. I (Approximately six weeks) Submission of Doctoral Thesis The degree applicant submits a doctoral thesis abstract and their completed manuscript. I (Approximately one week) Screening Committee Member Selection The Faculty Council of the Graduate School of Law selects the Screening Committee Members and decides whether to send the thesis to the President. I (Approximately to three weeks) Public Thesis Defene After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. I (Approximately one to two weeks) Report of Thesis Review Results The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. I (Approximately one to two weeks) Report of Thesis Review Results The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. I (Approximately one to two weeks) Report of Thesis Review Results The Examination Committee Chair submi	

duate ools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduate School of Economics		• The qualifications to apply for a doctoral degree are two or more published papers and one or more presentations at a conference. • Before submitting their doctoral thesis requests, applicants must submit a research report at a research presentation based on their thesis (in Japanese or English) for the doctoral degree in question.	 The thesis screening committee will have three or more members (i.e., one Examination Committee Chair and two or more Examiners) selected from faculty members of this graduate school (including faculty members from other departments). If deemed necessary, the graduate school's Faculty Council may add faculty members of other graduate schools, departments, or research institutes at this university to the screening committee. This must be approved by the graduate school's Faculty Council based on a request from the Thesis Guidance Committee (see below). 	 (Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) In cases where there is an outside scholar with specialized knowledge of the research topic or analysis method of a thesis submitted for a doctoral degree and when the Thesis Guidance Committee determines that the knowledge of this scholar and their participation in dissertation guidance will greatly contribute to the improvement of the thesis in question. In cases where a faculty member of the University transfers to anotter university but continues to serve as an external assistant 	The following five evaluation items are provided: theses will be accepted when recognized as having reached the level of a doctoral thesis for all five evaluation items. (1) Significance of the research topic: Is the rationale for selecting the topic of the dissertation clearly articulated based on previous academic or social significance? (2) Understanding and presentation of previous research: When exploring the research topic, has the applicant appropriately presented, need and evaluated, and referred to prior research on the topic in developing the argument of the thesis? (3) Validity of the research method: Are the research methods used appropriately and effectively for investigating the research topic? Methods may include theory, evidence, experiments, simulations, surveys, or the gathering of reference materials. (4) Validity and significance of the arguments and conclusions? Is the argument of the thesis developed clearly, empirically, and logically from the statement of the issue to the conclusion? Burther, do the arguments and conclusions? Bit and and original academic contributions or highly useful social contribution in this field? 5. Thesis style and formati: Are the treminology apphrasing of the thesis appropriate? Is it organized in a form that is appropriate for an academic oper? Are the references and other materials quoted and cided correctly and are the sources for historical materials used in the preparation of figures and tables clear?	<pre>tablishment of the Thesis Guidance Committee: A Thesis Guidance Committee consisting of one supervisor and two assistant supervisors is established in the first year of the doctoral program. The Thesis Guidance Committee may include. In addition to the above three members, these who are given specifically permitted by the Graduate School Faculty Council. 1 (Depending on the programs of the thesis. the Thesis Guidance Committee will hold methy include. In addition to the above three members, those who are given specifically permitted by the Graduate School submission of Documents relating to Research Presentations</pre>	
Graduate School of Science		Applicants must have knowledge of the fundamentals of their specialist field, and their thesis and its research in that specialist field. In addition, the thesis must be the result of independent and autonomous research activity on the part of the applicant.	applicant's supervisor, will be the Examination Committee Chair. The final review is conducted by one Examination Committee Chair and two or three Examiners: theExamination	members to the screening committee) When the faculty member who has been the student's supervisor is transferred to another university (the relevant faculty member will be a screening committee member) When there is an insufficient number of faculty members who are able to review the thesis in the department.	(3) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through	After completing the doctoral thesis research, finalizing the thesis concept, and beginning the thesis draft, the applicant consults with his/her supervisor and submits a request for a preliminary review of the doctoral thesis to a departmental operation meeting. 1 (Approximately two weeks) After receiving the request for a preliminary review, the departmental operation meeting finalizes the members of the Preliminary Review Committee after a formal review. 1 (Approximately two weeks) The Preliminary Review Committee (i.e., one Examination Committee Chair and two or three Examiners), which conducts the preliminary review, an explanation of the research background is used to evaluate the candidate's supervisor. In the preliminary review, an explanation of the research background is used to evaluate the candidate's supervisor. In the preliminary review, and the final review. 1 (Approximately for weeks) Applicants who have passed the preliminary review. 4) (Approximately neweeks) Applicants who have passed the preliminary review. 4) (Approximately neweek) The head of the department promptly convenes a departmental operation meeting to decide whether to accept the request for a doctoral thesis review after conducting a formal review referring to the preliminary review results and selects (provisional) members of the Thesis Storeening Committee. 1 (Approximately one week) The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the initiation of the review. 1 (Approximately three weeks) The Final Review Committee, consisting of one Examination Committee Chair and two or three Examiners, conducts the final review. The chief examiner is often the applicant' supervisor, but there are no particular restrictions. In the final review, the submitted thesis is peer-reviewed by the Committee members: the applicant must be able to respond appropriately to questions from the committee members at a public thesis defense. 1 (Approximately one week) The final Review Committee members at a public thesis defense. 1 (Approxi	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G r a d u	Department of Chemistry	Applicants must have sufficient fundamental and advanced knowledge in their specialist field and must courtibute to the creation of new knowledge through original research. In addition, they must plan and carry out their research independently and make a sufficient contribution to research results.	The thesis screening committee is composed of one Examination Committee Chair and two or more Examiners. If necessary, faculty members from other departments or off-campus may be appointed as screening committee members.	When there are faculty members from outside the University who would be suitable to be members	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Frovision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	At the Chemistry Departmental Council, which consists of faculty members in the rank of lecturer or above, all members circulate and examine the applicant's main thesis and discuss whether or not to admit the doctoral degree application.	
a t e S c h o o I o f S c i e n c e	Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences	Applicants must adhere to international academic journals' publication standards for research on earth and planetary sciences and must have passed the preliminary examination of the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences.	Preliminary review: The Doctoral Thesis Application Presentation Review Committee is composed of all the faculty members in the department (i.e., professors, associate professors, lecturers, and assistant professors). This review includes supervisors and is not limited to Examination Committee Chair and Examiners. Final review: The applicant's supervisor will be the Examination Committee Chair, and there will be two to four Examiners. Examiners may be from inside or outside the department and university.	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) When the department lacks those with expertise in the research content of the thesis	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	As a rule, this process takes place twice a year. Provided below is a sample case in which the applicant wishes to obtain their doctoral degree by the end of March. For details, see: https://www.geo.kyushu-u.ac.jp/geo.kyushu-u/gakui2008.html Doctoral thesis applicants make a presentation at the doctoral thesis presentation conference. (mid- to late-November) 1 Applicants submit their main thesis (or a provisional version of it) to their supervisor before the Departmental Council meeting is held, by a date designated by the head of the department. (by around December 22 (January 20+)) 1 The Departmental Council will discuss the thesis topic, appoint the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners, and schedule the public thesis defense, etc. (early January (early February*)). 1 Members of the Thesis Screening Committee are selected by the Graduate School Faculty Council (mid-January (mid- February*)). 1 Following the public thesis defense is held, and it is attended by all Thesis Screening Committee members (mid-January (mid- February*)). 1 Following the public thesis defense, the Thesis Screening Committee reviews the thesis and prepares a review report, whereupon the thesis is reviewed and approved at the Graduate School of Science Faculty Council (mid-February (March*)). 1 Doctoral degree awarded (late March) *The dates marked with an asterisk (*) indicate the cases in which the review by the Faculty Council takes place in March.	
M S G r a c c h a d a l o d a l o f s	Department of Mathematics	Applicants must summarize notable findings in mathematics in the form of a paper and must pass the preliminary examination for a doctoral thesis examination application.	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee (hohir) is composed of three or more members. The screening committee members must be faculty members of the Graduate School of Mathematics. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may serve as screening committee members.	members to the screening committee) If the review of the submitted doctoral thesis requires greater specialized knowledge, a	Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research	The Preliminary Review Committee selects the chief examiner. 1 (Approximately two weeks) The Graduate School Faculty Council decided whether to accept the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) The Examination Committee Chair forms a Thesis Screening Committee and starts screening the thesis content. 1 (Approximately one to two weeks) Following a public lecture (final examination) by the degree applicant, the Thesis Screening Committee reports the review results to the Graduate School of Mathematics Faculty Council. 1 (Approximately one week) Lastly, the Graduate School of Mathematics Faculty Council votes on the awarding of the doctoral degree.	
Graduate Schoolof Systems Life Sciences	Department of Systems Life Sciences	Must have one or more papers in a peer-reviewed journal. However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper(s) to be scheduled for publication (i.e., unpublished) at the time of applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of publication date. . Note that publications for internal readerships at universities or companies are not considered peer-reviewed papers in the context of application qualifications.	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee Chair) will be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes (including those of other universities) may serve as screening committee members.	members to the screening committee) If it is appropriate for evaluation of the contents of the thesis, faculty members from outside the University also may be included as members of the screening committee. . An assistant examiner from outside the University will often serve on the screening committee in cases such as the faculty member supervising a student on transfer to another university	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Frovision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	The Graduate School of Systems Life Sciences comprises several major courses in completely different fields, with slightly differing degree review processes. However, the process is essentially carried out as follows: Preparatory Committee ((Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee): The members listed in column two conduct a substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) Explanatory Thesis Presentation: After the applicant has explained the content of the thesis to be submitted for the doctoral degree to the members listed in column two, the evaluation takes place following a Q&A session from the perspectives of the chief and Examiners. The applicant revises the content of the thesis as necessary. 1 (Approximately two to three weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council decides whether to accept the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) Fuel Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense, publicizing it both within and outside the University. 1 (Approximately two weeks) Thesis Review Committee: The Examination Committee Chair presents the content of the thesis to a committee consisting of professors and supervisors of the major course to which the student belongs. Thereafter, members of the Guidance Council will take the decision of whether the student passes or fails. 1 (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the decision on the awarding of doctoral degrees. 3 (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 3 (Appr	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduuate Schoolof Meddical Sciennces	Department of Medicine	published or accepted by a peer-reviewed, authoritative journal In the case of co-authored papers, the doctoral thesis applicant must have played a major role in the collaborative research, and their results must form the core of the co-	composed of one Examination Committee Chair and two Examiners, selected from among the professors on the Medical / Medical Sciences Faculty Council by the Graduate School Committee and determined at the Medical / Medical Sciences Faculty Council. 2. Supervisors and co-authors of submitted papers must not serve as preliminary screening committee members.		 (1) Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field (2) Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. (3) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results (4) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology (6) The researcher' s compliance with research ethics 	Application for Preliminary Screening The doctoral degree applicant submits an application for preliminary screening to the relevant office. 1 Graduate School Committee The Committee prepares a proposal for the selection of Preliminary Screening Committee members (i.e., one Examination Committee Chair and two Examiners). 1 Graduate School Faculty Council The Faculty Council accepts and approves the preliminary screening and selects Preliminary Screening Committee members (i.e., one Examination Committee Chair and two Examiners). 1 Thesis Screening Committee (Public Review) A public Thesis S Coreening Committee eassion shall be held; it will be attended by all three members of the Preliminary Screening Committee, and the content of the thresis will be examined. Generally, a 20-minute presentation by the doctoral thesis applicant is followed by a 40-minute Q&A session. I Factorial Screening Results The Examination Committee Chair reports the results of the preliminary screening to the Dean of the Graduate School. Approval by the Dean of the Graduate School Apport by the Dean of the Graduate School Report of the Graduate School reports the acceptance of the doctoral thesis to the President of the University. 1 Review Referral Following a referral of the doctoral thesis application review from the President to the Dean of the Graduate School, the Screening Committee mem	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduate School of Medical Sciences		the following conditions must be met: (1) The paper must already be in print in a European language and must have particularly high academic value: (2) The doctoral thesis applicants must have played a major role in the collaborative	committee are comprised of one Examination Committee Chair and two Examiners, selected from among the professors of the Department of Health Sciences of the Graduate School of Medical Sciences by the Department of Health Sciences Committee. Upon application for a thesis review, the supervisor will recommend no more than six faculty members for reference in the review of the submitted dissertation from among the professors of the Department of Health Sciences. In addition, supervisors and co-authors of submitted papers may not be selected to the		existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. (3) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results (4) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through	[Doctoral Thesis Preliainary Screening] Graduate School Committee The Graduate School Committee of the Department of Health Sciences shall confirm and approve that the criteria for submitting a doctoral thesis have been fulfilled. I Department of Health Sciences Departmental Council decide whether the applicant qualifies for preliminary screening submission and accepts the doctoral thesis. Thereafter, the Council selects the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners by vote. I (Several days or weeks) Doctoral Thesis Preliminary Screening: Public Review The faculty members who act as the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners conduct a public review of the student submitting the doctoral thesis and report the results of this review. I [Doctoral Thesis Final Screening] Submission of Request for Doctoral Thesis Review: The doctoral thesis applicant submits a request for doctoral thesis review to the relevant office. The office forwards the request to the University President. I (Several days to one week) Referral of the doctoral degree review I (Approximately to weeks) Thesis Review The final review, final examinations, etc. will be conducted on the printed and published thesis. The Examination Committee Chair reports the results of the thesis screening to the Dean of the Graduate School. I (Approximately one week to the days) Department of Health Sciences Departmental Council Based on the review of the thesis and the report of the final examination results, the Faculty Council determines the candidate's eligibility to be awarded the doctoral degree. I (Approximately one week) Report to the President The Dean of the Graduate School reports the review results and the candidate's eligibility to be awarded the doctoral degree to the President of the University. I (Approximately one week) Report to the President of the University. I (Approximately one week) Review of the Graduate School reports the review results and the candidate's eligibility to be awarded the doctoral degree to	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduuate School of Dental Science	Department of Dentistry	[Thesis submission qualifications] Article 2: Those in any of the following categories may submit a doctoral thesis (hereafter "thesis") pursuant to Article 8 of the Degree Rules and Article 16 of the Graduate School Rules. Note that those enrolled through the special entrance examination for working adults must have passed the foreign language (English) examination at the time of submission of the thesis. (1) Those who have been enrolled in the Kyushu University Graduate School of Dental Science (hereafter the "Graduate School") for at least three years, have acquired at least 20 oredits in the stipulated courses, and have received the necessary research guidance Note that those with outstanding research results may be permitted to submit a thesis even if they have been in the school for less than three years. (2) Those working toward a doctoral (clinical dentistry) degree are required to take a total of 12 credits from among the courses stipulated in Article 1 of the Graduate School Rules, including Basic Clinical Practice (4 credits) in the lower-year comprehensive curriculum (3) Those who have been enrolled in the graduate school for the stipulated priod, have acquired the required course credits, and have received the necessary research guidance and who are within the period (*) stipulated in the graduate school for the sipulated period, have acquired the required course completed within three years of withdrawal with academic credits from the university. (From the Kyushu University Graduate School of Dental Science Rules on Doctoral Thesis Applications)	In the doctoral degree review system at the Graduate School of Dental Science. the principal supervisor, research supervisor, and co-author of the doctoral thesis shall not members including a Examination Committee Ohier and two Examiners will be appointed through mutual agreement at the Faculty Council of the Graduate School of Dental Science.	<pre>(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) When a suitable person is not available at the University, a specialist with advanced knowledge and understanding of the relevant field may be asked to join the screening committee, as necessary. However, this person may not be the research supervisor of the doctoral thesis applicant or a co-author of relevant papers.</pre>	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The doctoral applicant has already been published or accepted for publication, not in Japanese, as a first or second author in an international journal (including online journals) that has a peer review system and has been assigned and IF value. Alternatively, based on a paper that has already been published or accepted for publication with the doctoral applicant as a first or second author in a peer-reviewed academic journal (in Japanese or other languages: including in online magazines), in which the doctoral applicant has provided new insights, supplementary explanations, figures and tables, etc., and has prepared a paper in the form of a thesis (case reports are not accepted). 	The applicant confirm the application documents with the chair of the Academic Affairs Committee and the staff responsible for student affairs. I deproximately too weaks Gadadas School Academic Affairs Committee: First Session Application documents are in order consult with the Academic Affairs Committee to determine their eligibility to apply for a preliminary review. I (Approximately one to two weaks) Faculty Council. First Session Faculty Council. This Session For Gadadas School Faculty Council. members of the Preliminary Screening Committee (i.e., one Examination Committee Chair and two Examiners) will be selected to conduct the preliminary screening of candidates deemed eligible to apply for preliminary screening. I (Approximately two weeks to two months) Fublic Preliminary Review Affer receiving instructions to carry out a preliminary review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the (public) thesis screening. A report on the relevant office 10 days before the Graduate School Faculty Council meeting, where the preliminary screening screening results shall be reported. I (Approximately two weeks) Faculty Council meeting, where the preliminary screening results shall be reported. I (Approximately two to four weeks) Faculty Council. The Session Faculty Council meeting, where the applicant passes or fails) will be conducted at the Graduate School Faculty Council. I (Approximately two to four weeks) Faculty Council. I where the final review will be held. I (Approximately two to four weeks) Faculty Council. I where the fraid areas screening Committee Chair and two Examiners) are selected. I (Approximately two to four weeks) Faculty Council. Third Session The Final Serveening Committee members (thesis reviewers: one Examination Committee Chair and two Examiners) are selected. I (Approximately two weeks) Faculty Council here is reviewers)	In the Graduate School of Dental Science, one of the following degrees can be obtained: "Doctor (Dentistry)." "Ph D," and "Doctor (Glinical Dentistry)." For the first two, voting in the final screening is conducted based on the criteria described in column three. However, for Doctor (Glinical Dentistry), in addition to the voting in the final screening on the criteria described in column three, the applicant may apply for a degree examination if the he/she has already been published in an academic journal or by writing a thesis paper with additional considerations, supplementary explanations, figures, and tables based on two or more accepted case report papers.
G r d u t e S c h S o c l i e o	Department of Medicinal Sciences	Applicants must meet conditions (1) and (2): (1) The level for warding a doctoral degree must be equivalent to or exceeding all the doctoral thesis achievement objectives (equivalent to the diploma policy) specified separately. (2) A paper in which the applicant's contribution is equivalent to that of the first author (peer-reviewed) must have been accepted at the time of submission of the doctoral thesis (at the end of the prelininary screening). However, if this condition is not met, the applicant must report to the graduate school's Faculty Council in a format specified separately and obtain approval in advance for achievements equivalent to a reviewed paper.	The doctoral dissertation will be evaluated by the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners, noting that the main supervisor of the doctoral candidate may participate in the preliminary but not in the final examination.	members to the screening committee) The appointment of screening committee members from outside the University will be carried out at the discretion of the main supervisor. For example, this appointment may be carried out when the main supervisor has determined that there is no researcher at the University with a high degree of expertise suited to the content	(5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically	Preliminary Screening: Before the thesis is submitted, the prospective doctoral thesis applicant's supervisor (liaising faculty member) conducts a preliminary screening based on the prospective applicant's doctoral thesis (draft), resume, list of academic achievements, thesis abstract, reference papers, etc. At least two weeks before the Graduate School Faculty Council meeting, the supervisor submits a preliminary screening report; the applicant's resume, research achievements, and thesis abstract; and a list of prospective members of the Screening Committee to the Dean of the Graduate School. ↓ (Approximately two weeks) Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Science Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council conducts a review of the applicant's resume, list of academic achievements, and thesis abstract, as well as the approval of the Screening Committee to members—all distributed in advance—and takes the decision regarding accepting the student's application. ↓ (Approximately two weeks) Public Lecture: The applicant is questioned on the content of their doctoral thesis. ↓ (One week) Begont on Thesis Screening Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits a summary of the thesis screening results to the	
n f Pharmaceutical	Department of Clinical Pharmacy					Report on Thesis Screening Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits a summary of the thesis screening results to the Dean of the Graduate School at least one week before the Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Science Faculty Council meeting. ↓ (One week) Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Science Faculty Council: The Council requests the Examination Committee Chair to provide a summary of the thesis screening results and to confirm that there are no objections; then, it takes the decision on the awarding of the doctoral degree based on the final review.	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G r d	Major in Material Engineering	The applicant must be the first author of at least one paper published in a peer-reviewed academic journal. However, we will accept papers that have been accepted for publication at the time of dissertation submission (regardless of publication date). Please note that internal university publications and in- house newsletters are not considered peer- reviewed papers for the purpose of this application.	The Dissertation Committee will consist of at least three members (including the chief examiner), with the dissertation advisor from the candidate's department serving as chief examiner. If necessary, faculty members from other graduate schools or research institutes may be appointed as committee members.	(In what cases should faculty from other universities be appointed as dissertation committee members?) When the chief examiner concludes that faculty members from outside the university will be most familiar with the field of specialization of the doctoral dissertation, these external faculty members will be appointed as members of the review committee.	(4) The applicant is contributing to the creation of new	[Preliminary Review Committee] The members of the Department Faculty Meeting will approve the dissertation application and the members of the Dissertation Committee. 1 (about two weeks) [Dissertation for Doctoral Dissertation Review] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about four weeks) [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. 1 (about no weeks) [Public Doctoral Dissertation Committee the application has been accepted, the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense, and the defense will be held. [Dissertation committee] The Dissertation Committee members will conduct the dissertation will be made by the members of the Advisor Meeting. 1 (about one week) [Auton one week] [Public Doctoral Dissertation Committee] After the application on whether to accept or reject the dissertation review and final examination. 1 (about now week) [Dissertation committee] The Dissertation Committee members will conduct the dissertation review and final examination. 1 (about one week) [Dissertation committee (Advisor Meeting)] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the members of the Advisor Meeting. 1 (about one week] [Announcement of Result] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about one week] [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether or not to confer the degree will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting.	
u a t c S c h o o f E n g i n e	Major in Applied Chemistry	- Two first-author papers must have been accepted for publication in peer-reviewed academic journals. (Mowere, papers where the doctoral candidate has made a major contribution as co-author but a faculty member is the first author will be approved after consultation within their department.) - The applicant must have submitted a research proposal which has been assessed as satisfactory.	The Dissertation Committee will consist of at least three members (including the chief examiner), with the candidate's dissertation advisor serving as chief examiner.	(In what cases should faculty from other universities be appointed as dissertation committee members?) When the faculty member has deep insights into the relevant field and can be expected to appropriately evaluate the dissertation for degree eligibility.	(1) The applicant has an understanding of the basic and advanced knowledge of the field of specialization. (2) The applicant can critically analyze, evaluate, and integrate conventional ideas, concepts, etc. (3) The applicant has planned and carried out research autonomously and their contributions are of sufficient quality. (4) The applicant is contributing to the creation of new knowledge through original research. (5) The applicant is able to explain their findings in a scientifically acourate manner. (6) The applicant possesses (English) language skills required for research.	[Preliminary Review Committee] A preliminary review will be conducted by professors and associate professors of the candidate's department, who will approve the dissertation application and the members of the Dissertation Committee. 1 (about now week) [Dissertation Information Session] After the applicant explains the content of their dissertation in front of the chief and deputy examiners, they will field a variety of questions from the the chief and deputy examiners, who will then evaluate the dissertation. The applicant will revise their dissertation as necessary. [deput two to three weeks) [Application for Doctoral Dissertation Review] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about one week) [Public Doctoral Dissertation Defense] After the application has been accepted, the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense, and the public defense will be held. 1 (about one week) [Dissertation Committee] In a committee consisting of the professors from the candidate's major and deputy examiners, the chief examiner will be made by the members of the Advisor Meeting. [Dissertation week] [Dissertation committee] In a committee consisting of the professors from the candidate's major and deputy examiners, the chief examiner will be hade by the members of the Advisor Meeting. [downcomment of Result] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about one week) [Dissertation week] [Dissertation committee] In a committee consisting of the professors from the candidate's major and deputy examiners, the chief examiner will be hade by the members of the Advisor Meeting. [dabut one week] [Dissertation committee] In a committee consisting of the professors from	All doctoral candidates in the Department of Applied Chemistry are required to submit a research proposal, and all faculty members in the department at the rank of associate professor or above will be involved in the evaluation of the proposal. (Qualifying examination [QE] for degree application)
ering From Aprii I	Major in Chemical Engineering	The applicant must have published at least one paper in a peer-reviewed academic journal. However, we will accept papers that have been accepted for publication at the time of dissertation submission (regardless of publication date). Please note that internal university publications and in-house newsletters are not considered peer-reviewed papers for the purpose of this application.		(In what cases should faculty from other universities be appointed as dissertation committee members?) If the content of the doctoral dissertation is deemed to be of a specialized nature, faculty members from outside of Kyushu University will be appointed as committee members as necessary.	 The applicant has an understanding of the basic and advanced knowledge of the field of specialization. The applicant can critically analyze, evaluate, and integrate conventional ideas, concepts, etc. The applicant has planned and carried out research autonomously and their contributions are of sufficient quality. The applicant is contributing to the creation of new knowledge through original research. The applicant is ble to explain their findings in a scientifically accurate manner. The applicant is ble to comply with research ethics. The applicant preseases (English) language skills required for research. 	Preliminary Review Committee (Advisor Meeting)] The dissertation application and the members of the Dissertation Committee Will be approved upon consultation among all professors qualified to teach in the Department of Chemical Engineering (Department of Chemical Systems and Engineering, Department of Matrials Process Engineering) and associate professors with teaching qualifications related to the candidate's dissertation. 1 (about two to three weeks) [Dissertation Committee (Dissertation Information Session)] The members listed in 2 will review the dissertation. 1 (about one week) [Application for Doctoral Dissertation Review] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about one week) [Braduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. 1 (about one week) [Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation will submit a request to hold a defense, and the defense will be held. 1 (about one week) [Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation review and final examination. 1 (about one week) [Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation review and final examination. 1 (about one week) [Dissertation Committee] The members of the Department Dissertation Advisor Meeting. 1 (about one to two week	
	Major in Mechanical Engineering	accepted for publication at the time of dissertation submission (regardless of publication date). Please note that internal university publications and in-house newsletters are not considered peer-reviewed	In accordance with Graduate School of Engineering rules, the Dissertation Committee will consist of at least three members (including the chief examiner), with the dissertation advisor from the candidate's department serving as chief examiner. However, the Dissertation Committee should include at least one advisor from another department or school. If necessary, faculty members from other graduate schools or research institutes may be appointed as committee members.	<pre>(In what cases should faculty from other universities be appointed as dissertation committee members?) - When the dissertation advisor retires or takes a position outside of the university - When there is no faculty at Kyushu University suitable to serve as a member of the review committee for the dissertation - When it is judged that there is a researcher who is familiar with the research in question and will be able to conduct a more in-depth examination of the dissertation</pre>	 The applicant has an understanding of the basic and advanced knowledge of the field of specialization. The applicant has planned and carried out research autonomously and their contributions are of sufficient quality. The applicant is contributing to the creation of new knowledge through original research. The applicant is able to explain their findings in a scientifically accurate manner. The applicant is able to comply with research ethics. 	Dissertation Advisor Meeting] If the candidate has demonstrated "exceptional research findings" and plans to complete their program early, all professors with advisory qualifications and associate professors with teaching qualifications related to the candidate's dissertation will meet to discuss and approve the start of a Preliminary Review Committee. 1 (about one to two weeks) [Preliminary (Tentative) Dissertation Committee] The committee members listed in 2 will conduct a substantial preliminary review of the dissertation. 1 (generally within two weeks) [Preliminary Review Committee (Dissertation Advisor Meeting)] The members of the Dissertation Advisor Meeting will approve the dissertation application and the members of the Dissertation Committee. 1 (about one week) [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. 1 (about one week) [Fublic Obtoral Dissertation Defense] After the application has been accepted, the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense, and the defense will be held. 1 (immediately following the dissertation hearing) [Dissertation Committee (Dissertation Advisor Meeting)] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation. 1 (generally within two weeks) [Dissertation Committee] The members of the Dissertation review and final examination. 1 (generally within two weeks) [Dissertation Committee] (Dissertation Advisor Meeting.] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the members of the Dissertation Advisor Meeting.] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the members of the Dissertation Advisor Meeting. 1 (Announcement of Result] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about one week) [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether or not to confer the degree will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting.	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G r a d	Major in Hydrogen Energy Systems	The applicant must have published at least one paper in a peer-reviewed academic journal. However, we will accept papers that have been accepted for publication at the time of dissertation submission (regardless of publication date). Please note that internal university publications and in-house newsletters are not considered peer-reviewed papers for the purpose of this application.	In accordance with Graduate School of Engineering rules, the Dissertation Committee will consist of at least three members (including the chief examiner), with the dissertation advisor from the candidate's department serving as chief examiner. However, the Dissertation Committee should include at least one advisor from another department or school. If necessary, faculty members from other graduate schools or research institutes may be appointed as committee members.	takes a position outside of the university - When there is no faculty at Kyushu University suitable to serve as a member of the review committee for the dissertation	 The applicant has an understanding of the basic and advanced knowledge of the field of specialization. (2) The applicant has planned and carried out research autonomously and their contributions are of sufficient quality. The applicant is contributing to the creation of new knowledge through original research. (4) The applicant is able to explain their findings in a scientifically accurate manner. (5) The applicant is able to comply with research ethics. 	[Dissertation Advisor Meeting] If the candidate has demonstrated "exceptional research findings" and plans to complete their program early, all professors with advisory qualifications and associate professors with teaching qualifications related to the candidate's dissertation will meet to discuss and approve the start of a Preliminary Review Committee. I (about one to two weeks) [Preliminary (Tentative) Dissertation Committee] The committee members listed in 2 will conduct a substantial preliminary review of the dissertation. I (generally within two weeks) [Preliminary Review Committee (Dissertation Advisor Meeting)] The members of the Dissertation Advisor Meeting will approve the dissertation and the members of the Dissertation Committee. I (Application for Doctoral Dissertation Review] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. I (about one week) [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. I (about one week) [I (adout one week) [I (adout one week) [I (adout a defense, and the defense will be held. I (immediately following the dissertation haring) [Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation review and final examination. I (generally within two weeks) [Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation review and final examination. I (generally within two weeks) [Dissertation for Docamittee (Dissertation Advisor Meeting.]] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. I (adout one week) [Dissertation for momittee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation review and final examination. I (generally within two weeks) [Dissertation for the Dissertation Advisor Meeting.]] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether or not to confer the degree	
d uate Schoool f Enngi	Major in Aeronautics and Astronautics	All of the following requirements must be met. (1) The candidate must compile a dissertation that is recognized by the chief examiner to be novel, original, and of sufficient academic value in their area of expertise. (2) The applicant must have published at least two papers related to the dissertation in a peer-reviewed journal. However, one of the papers may be a published conference papeer from an international conference proceeding. We will also accept papers related to the dissertation that have been accepted for publication.		(In what cases should faculty from other universities be appointed as dissertation committee members?) - When there is a faculty member outside of the university who is well suited to objectively evaluate the novelty, originality, and academic value of the dissertation.	(4) The applicant is contributing to the creation of new	[Preliminary Review Committee] A Preliminary Review Committee consisting of professors and associate professors of the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics will approve the start of the dissertation review and the members of the Dissertation Committee listed in 2. 1 (about four weeks) [Dissertation Information Session] The Dissertation Committee members listed in 2 will conduct a substantial preliminary review of the dissertation. 1 (about four weeks) [Application for Doctoral Dissertation Review] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about nor weeks) [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. 1 (about oncommittee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation committee administration. 1 (about nor weeks) [Dissertation of Doctoral Dissertation Defense] After the application has been accepted, the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense, and the defense will be held. 1 [Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation will be made by all of the professors of the department together with the members of the Dissertation Committee. 1 [Anoncoment of Result] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the deministration. 1 (about normal together with the members of the Dissertation Committee. 1 [Anoncoment of Result] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about normative) A decision on whether or not to confer the degree will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. 1 (about normative) A decision on whether or not to confer the degree will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting.	
n e e r i n g (F r o m A p r i l o	Major in Applied Quantum Physics and Nuclear Engineering	The applicant must have published, or be scheduled to publish, at least one first-author paper (including proceedings) in a peer- reviewed journal.	The Dissertation Committee will consist of at least three members (including the chief examiner), with the dissertation advisor from the candidate's department serving as chief examiner. However, the Dissertation Committee should include at least one advisor from another department or school. If necessary, faculty members from other graduate schools or research institutes may be appointed as committee members.	participate. - When there are no other members of the review committee within the university who are	 The applicant has an understanding of the basic and advanced knowledge of the field of specialization. (2) The applicant can critically analyze, evaluate, and integrate conventional ideas, concepts, etc. (3) The applicant has planned and carried out research autonomously and their contributions are of sufficient quality. (4) The applicant is contributing to the creation of new knowledge through original research. (5) The applicant is able to explain their findings in a scientifically accurate manner. (6) The applicant is able to comply with research ethics. 	[Preliminary Review Committee] The dissertation application and the members of the Dissertation Committee will be approved by the professors and associate professors of the Department of Applied Quantum Physics and Nuclear Engineering through discussions based on an explanation of the dissertation by the candidate's dissertation advisor. 1 (about one week) [Information Session (Dissertation Committee)] The committee members listed in 2 will conduct a substantial preliminary review of the dissertation. 1 (about tow weeks) [Application for Doctoral Dissertation Review] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about one week) [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. 1 (about ne weeks) [Application for Public Doctoral Dissertation Defense] After the application has been accepted, the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense. 1 (about ne week) [Public Doctoral Dissertation Defense & Dissertation Committee] After the public defense is held, the members listed in 2 will conduct a review and final examination of the dissertation. 1 (about one week) [Public Doctoral Dissertation Advisor Meeting.] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the members of the Dissertation Advisor Meeting.] 1 (about one week) [Dissertation Committee (Dissertation Advisor Meeting.] 1 (about one week) [Dissertation Committee (Dissertation Advisor Meeting.] 1 (about one week) [Dissertation Advisor Meeting.] 1 (about one week) [Chronucneement of Result] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. 1 (about one week) [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether or not to confer the degree will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting.	
	Major in Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering	The applicant must have at published least one paper in a peer-reviewed journal.	The Dissertation Committee will consist of at least three members (including the chief examiner), with the dissertation advisor from the candidate's department serving as chief examiner. However, the Dissertation Committee should include at least one advisor from another department or school.	deemed to be of a specialized nature, faculty members from outside of Kyushu University will	 The applicant has an understanding of the basic and advanced knowledge of the field of specialization. (2) The applicant can critically analyze, evaluate, and integrate conventional ideas, concepts, etc. (3) The applicant has planned and carried out research autonomously and their contributions are of sufficient quality. (4) The applicant is contributing to the creation of new knowledge through original research. (5) The applicant is able to explain their findings in a scientifically accurate manner. (6) The applicant is able to comply with research ethics. 	[Preliminary Dissertation Review Committee] A Preliminary Dissertation Review Committee consisting of professor and associate professors of the Department of Marine Systems Engineering will meet to approve the start of the dissertation review and the members of the Dissertation Committee. I (about two weeks) [Dissertation Information Session] The Dissertation Committee members listed in 2 will conduct a substantial review of the dissertation. I (about one week) [Application for Doctoral Dissertation Review] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. I (about one week) [Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting. I (about one week) [Craduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense, and the defense will be held. I (about one week) [Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation review and final examination. I (associate) following the Dissertation Committee) [Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation will be made by all of the professors of the Department of Maritime Engineering will advisory qualifications together with the members of the Dissertation Committee. I (associate) following the These Review Committee meeting) [Anneuncement of Result] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. I (associate) following the These Review Committee meeting) [Araduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether or not to confer the degree will be made by the Graduate School Delegates Meeting.	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G r a d u a t e S c h o o f E n g i n		The applicant must have published, or be scheduled to publish, at least one first-author paper in a peer-reviewed journal.	The Dissertation Committee will consist of at least three members (including the chief examiner), with the dissertation advisor from the candidate's department serving as chief examiner. However, the Dissertation committee should include at least one advisor from another department or school. If necessary, faculty members from other graduate schools or research institutes may be appointed as committee members.	(In what cases should faculty from other universities be appointed as dissertation committee members?) If the content of the doctoral dissertation is deemed to be of a specialized nature, faculty members from outside of Kyushu University will be appointed as committee members as necessary.	 (1) The applicant has an understanding of the basic and advanced knowledge of the field of specialization. (2) The applicant can analyze, evaluate, and integrate conventional ideas, concepts, etc. (3) The applicant has annot and carried out research autonomously and their contributions are of sufficient quality. (4) The applicant is contributing to the creation of new knowledge through original research. (5) The applicant is able to explain their findings in a scientifically acourate manner. (6) The applicant is able to comply with research ethics. 	[Preliminary Dissertation Review Committee] A Preliminary Dissertation Review Committee consisting of professor and associate professors of the Department of Earth Resources Engineering will meet to approve the start of the dissertation review and the members of the Dissertation Committee. (about two weeks) (Dissertation Information Session] The Dissertation Committee members listed in 2 will conduct a substantial review of the dissertation. (about one week) (Application for Doctoral Dissertation Review] The chief examiner will submit the specified documents to the administration. (about one week) (Braduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates meeting. (about one week) (Braduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates meeting. (about one week) (Braduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates meeting. (about one week) (Dublic Decorral Dissertation Defense] After the application has been accepted, the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense, and the defense will be held. (mediately following the Dissertation nearing) (Dissertation Committee] The members listed in 2 will conduct the dissertation review and final examination. (as soon as possible following the Dissertation Committee) (Announcement of Result P A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by all of the professors of the Department of Earth Resources Engineering with advisory qualifications together with the members of the Dissertation Committee) (Announcement of Result The chief examiner will submit the specified	
e r i g (F r o m A p r i I 2 0 2 1)		The paper must have been published in a peer- reviewed journal. The dissertation must be approved by the faculty member serving as the chief examiner.	The Dissertation Committee will consist of at least three members (including the chief examiner), with the dissertation advisor from the candidate's department serving as chief examiner. However, the Dissertation Committee should include at least one advisor from another department or school. If necessary, faculty members from other universities or research institutes may be appointed as committee members.	(In what cases should faculty from other universities be appointed as dissertation committee members?) If the content of the doctoral dissertation is deemed to be of a specialized nature, faculty members from outside of Kyushu University will be appointed as committee members as necessary.	autonomously and their contributions are of sufficient quality.	[Preliminary Review Committee] The dissertation application and the members of the Dissertation Committee will be approved upon consultation among the professors and associate professors of the Department of Civil and Structural Engineering and the Department of Urban and Environmental Engineering. (about two to three weeks) (Dissertation Committee (Dissertation Information Session)] The members listed in 2 will review the dissertation. (about one week) (Graduate School Delegates Meeting] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation will be made by the Graduate School Delegates meets? (about one week) (Public Doctoral Dissertation Defense] After the application has been accepted, the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense, and the defense will be held. (forlowing public hearing) (Dissertation Committee (Department Dissertation Advisor Meeting.)] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation. (about one week) (Fublic Doctoral Dissertation Defense] After the application has been accepted, the chief examiner will submit a request to hold a defense, and the defense will be held. (following public hearing) (Dissertation Committee (Department Dissertation Advisor Meeting.)] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation. (about one two week) [Dissertation Committee (Department Dissertation Advisor Meeting.)] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation. (about one week) [Dissertation Committee (Department Dissertation Advisor Meeting.)] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation. (about one week) [Dissertation Committee (Department Dissertation Advisor Meeting.)] A decision on whether to accept or reject the dissertation. (about one week) [Dissertation Committee (Department Dissertation Advisor Meeting.)] A decision on whether to accept or reject the disser	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
uraduate School of Engineering(Until March 202	Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry	 Two papers on which the applicant is the first author must have been accepted by peer- reviewed journals. (Note that if a faculty member is the first author and the principal contributing student is a co-author, approval will be granted after consultation within the department.) The applicant must make a successful researc proposal. 	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee Chair) shall be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be the student's supervisor. The screening committee must include at least one faculty member from another department (or h from outside the Graduate School of Engineering) as an Examiner.	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) To enable suitable evaluation of the doctoral thesis submitted in the case that the external faculty member has extensive knowledge of the field in question	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Forvision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The student must possess language skills (English) required for research. 	 Preliminary Screening Committee: Professors and assistant professors in the applicant's department engage in preparation for the application, approve the submission of the thesis, and approve the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. (Approximately one week) Explanatory Thesis Presentation: After the applicant explains the content of the thesis to be submitted for the doctoral degree to the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners, evaluation takes place following a Q&A session from the perspective of the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners. The applicant revises the content of the thesis as necessary. (Approximately two to three weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense, publicizing it both within and outside the University. (Approximately two weeks) Thesis Review Committee: The Examination Committee Chair will present the content of the thesis at a committee consisting of all the professors in the department to which the student passes or fails. (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately one week) Gradu	Research proposals must be submitted by all doctoral students in the Department of Applied Chemistry: all faculty members in the department including associate professors are involved in the evaluation of research proposals. (Qualifying examination for doctoral degree application)
	Department of Materials Process Engineering (Chemical Engineering)	Must have one or more papers published in a peer-reviewed journal However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper(s) to be scheduled for publication (i.e. unpublished) when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date. Note that publications for internal readerships at universities or companies are not considered peer-reviewed papers in the context of application qualifications.	more supervisors from other departments or	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) When deemed necessary in light of the specialist content of a doctoral thesis, a faculty member from outside Kyushu University may be included as a member of the screening committee.	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics The researcher's compliance with research ethics The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Preliminary Screening Committee (Divisional Guidance Council): All professors qualified to supervise in the Chemical Engineering Division (Department of Chemical Systems and Engineering and Department of Materials Process Engineering) and associate professors qualified to supervise the thesis based on its research area will discuss and approve the thesis submission and select the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately two to three weeks) Thesis Screening Committee (Explanatory Thesis Presentation): The members listed in column two conduct the screening of the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. 1 (Aptroximately one to two weeks) Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. 1 (Approximately one to two weeks) Thesis Review Committee (Departmental Guidance Council): A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Guidance Council. 1 (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) 	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduate School of Engin		The applicant must have a paper accepted by a peer-reviewed journal. Note that publications for internal readerships at universities or companies are not considered as peer-reviewed papers in the context of application qualifications.	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee Chair) shall be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary, faulty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may serve as screening committee members.	the person most familiar with the specialized	 (1) Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field (2) Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. (3) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results (4) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology (6) The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Preliminary Screening Committee (Departmental Council): Members of the Divisional Faculty Council approve the submission of the thesis and finalize the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately two weeks) Preparatory Committee ((Provisional)) Thesis Screening Committee): The members of the Thesis Screening Committee conduct a substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. 1 (Approximately four weeks) Explanatory Presentation: The student submitting the thesis explains the content of the thesis to the Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately four weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately tow weeks) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. 1 (Approximately now week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. Thesis Screening Committee: Members of the Thesis Screening Committee conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. 1 (Approximately one week) Thesis Review Committee (Guidance Council): A decision as to whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council. 1 (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) 	
e ering(Until March 2021)		 Two papers on which the applicant is the first author must have been accepted by peer- reviewed journals. (Note that if a faculty member is the first author and the principal contributing student is a co-author, approval will be granted after consultation within the department.) The applicant must make a successful research proposal. 	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee Chair) shall be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be the student's supervisor. The screening committee must include at least one faculty member from another department (or ifrom outside the Graduate School of Engineering) as an Examiner.	members to the screening committee) To enable suitable evaluation of the doctoral	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The esearcher's compliance with research ethics The student must possess language skills (English) required for research. 	 Preliminary Screening Committee: Professors and assistant professors in the applicant's department engage in preparation for the application, approve the submission of the thesis, and approve the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. ↓ (Approximately one week) Explanatory Thesis Presentation: After the applicant explains the content of the thesis to be submitted for the doctoral degree to the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners, evaluation takes place following a Q&A session from the perspective of the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners. The applicant revises the content of the thesis as necessary. ↓ (Approximately two to three weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. ↓ (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. ↓ (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense, publicizing it both within and outside the University. ↓ (Approximately two weeks) Thesis Review Committee: The Examination Committee Chair will present the content of the thesis at a committee consisting of all the professors in the department to which the student belongs and also the Examiners. Thereafter, members of the Guidance Council will take the decision regarding whether the student passes or fails. ↓ (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. ↓ (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. ↓ (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination C	Research proposals must be submitted by all doctoral students in the Department of Applied Chemistry: all faculty members in the department including associate professors are involved in the evaluation of research proposals. (Qualifying examination for doctoral degree application)

ate Is	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
	Department of Materials Physics and Chemistry (Materials Science)	The applicant must have a paper accepted by a peer-reviewed journal. Note that publications for internal readerships at universities or companies are not considered as peer-reviewed papers in the context of application qualifications.	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Gommittee (Ahir) shall be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may serve as screening committee members.	the person most familiar with the specialized field of the doctoral thesis, a faculty member	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Frovision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Preliminary Screening Committee (Departmental Council): Members of the Divisional Faculty Council approve the submission of the thesis and finalize the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. (Approximately two weeks) Preparatory Committee ((Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee): The members of the Thesis Screening Committee conduct a substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. (Approximately four weeks) Explanatory Presentation: The student submitting the thesis explains the content of the thesis to the Thesis Screening Committee. (Approximately four weeks) Explanatory Presentation: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately two weeks) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. Thesis Screening Committee: Members of the Thesis Screening Committee conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions as to whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council): A decision as to whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council): A decision as to whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council): A decision as to whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council): A decision as to whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council): A decision as to whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council): A decisi	
	Department of Chemical Systems and Engineering (Chemical Engineering)	Must have one or more papers published in a peer-reviewed journal However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper(s) to be scheduled for publication (i.e. unpublished) when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date. Note that publications for internal readerships at universities or companies are not considered peer-reviewed papers in the context of application qualifications.		members to the screening committee) When deemed necessary in light of the	 (1) Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field (2) Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. (3) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results (4) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology (6) The researcher's compliance with research ethics (7) The student must posses language skills (English) required for research. 	Preliminary Screening Committee (Divisional Guidance Council): All professors qualified to supervise in the Chemical Engineering Division (Department of Chemical Systems and Engineering and Department of Materials Process Engineering) and associate professors qualified to supervise the thesis based on its research area will discuss and approve the thesis submission and select the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately two to three weeks) Thesis Screening Committee (Explanatory Thesis Presentation): The members listed in column two conduct the screening of the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. 1 (After public thesis defense) Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. 1 (Approximately one week) Thesis Review Committee (Departmental Guidance Council): A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Guidance Council]: A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Guidance Council]: A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Guidance Council]: A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Guidance Council]: A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Guidance Council]: A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Guidance Council]: A decisio	

aduate bools Department			?-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G r Department of Che a Engineering (Appl u a t t c S S S C h o o f f	first author mu reviewed journa member is the f contributing st will be granted department.) • The applicant proposal.	ust have been accepted by peer- als. (Note that if a faculty of first author and the principal tudent is a co-author, approval d after consultation within the t must make a successful researchf	The thesis screening committee (including the ximmination Committee Chair) shall be composed of three or more members, and the Examination committee Chair will be the student's supervisor. The screening committee must include at least ne faculty member from another department (or rrom outside the Graduate School of ingineering) as an Examiner.	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) To enable suitable evaluation of the doctoral thesis submitted in the case that the external faculty member has extensive knowledge of the field in question	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Frovision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The student must possess language skills (English) required for research. 	 Preliminary Screening Committee: Professors and assistant professors in the applicant's department engage in preparation for the application, approve the submission of the thesis, and approve the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. (Approximately one week) Explanatory Thesis Presentation: After the applicant explains the content of the thesis to be submitted for the doctoral degree to the Examination Committee Chair and Examiners. The applicant revises the content of the thesis as necessary. (Approximately two to three weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense, publicizing it both within and outside the University. (Approximately two weeks) Thesis Review Committee: The Examination Committee Chair will present the content of the thesis at a committee consisting of all the professors in the department to which the student belongs and also the Examiners. Thereafter, members of the Guidance Council will take the decision regarding whether the student passes or fails. (Approximately one week) 	Research proposals must be submitted by all doctoral students in the Department of Applied Chemistry: all faculty members in the department including associate professors are involved in the evaluation of research proposals. (Qualifying examination for doctoral degree application)
n g i n e e r i n g û u n t Department of Civ i Engineering M a r c h 2 0 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	published in a The doctoral th faculty member	peer-reviewed journal. E hesis must be accepted by the serving as chief examiner. d t m g f f	the thesis screening committee (including the examination Committee Chair) will be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Sommittee Chair will be a supervisor in the lepartment to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or nore supervisors from other departments or raduate schools. Note that, if necessary, aculty members of other universities or esearch institutes may serve as screening committee members.	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) When deemed necessary in light of the specialist content of the doctoral thesis, a faculty member from outside Kyushu University may be included as a member of the screening committee.	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research. Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics The student must posses language skills (English) required for research. 	Preliminary Screening Committee: The professors and associate professors of the Department of Civil and Structural Engineering and the Department of Urban and Environmental Engineering consult and approve the submission of the thesis and finalize the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. i (Approximately two to three weeks) Thesis Screening Committee (Explanatory Thesis Presentation): The members listed in column two conduct the screening of the thesis. i (Approximately one week) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. i (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. i (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. i (After public thesis defense) Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. i (Approximately one to two weeks) Thesis Review Committee (Departmental Guidance Council): A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Guidance Council. i (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. i (Approximat	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduate School of Engin	Department of Maritime Engineering	The applicant requires to have presented one or more peer-reviewed papers.	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee Chair) shall be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools.		 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Preliminary Screening Committee: A committee consisting of professors and associate professors of the Department of Maritime Engineering discusses whether the doctoral thesis review may be initiated and approves the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. (Approximately two weeks) Explanatory Thesis Presentation: The Thesis Screening Committee members listed in column two conduct a substantial review of the thesis. (Approximately one week) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 	e
		The applicant must have at least one peer- reviewed paper for which he/she is the first author that has been published or has been confirmed for publication.		members to the screening committee) When deemed necessary in light of the specialist content of a doctoral thesis, a faculty member from outside Kyushu University	 (1) Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field (2) Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. (3) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results (4) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research. (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurat terminology (6) The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Preliminary Screening Committee: A Committee consisting of professors and associate professors of the Department of Earth Resources Engineering discusses whether the doctoral thesis review may be initiated and approves the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. (Approximately two weeks) Explanatory Thesis Presentation: The Thesis Screening Committee members listed in column two conduct a substantial review of the thesis. (Approximately one week) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. (Immediately after public thesis defense) Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. (As soon as possible after the Thesis Screening Committee conducts the above) Thesis Review Committee: All professors qualified to supervise in the Department of Earth Resources Engineering and members of the Thesis Review Committee take a decision regarding whether the applicant passes or fails. (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately and week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee meeting) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Represent	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G r a d u a t e S c h o o f E n	Department of Applied Quantum Physics and Nuclear Engineering	The applicant must have at least one peer- reviewed paper (including conference proceedings) for which he/she is the first author that has been published or has been confirmed for publication.	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee Chair) shall be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may serve as screening committee members.	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) • When a researcher with outstanding achievements in the relevant field is available to participate in the screening committee • When there is no screening committee member available outside the department within the University who can satisfactorily review the doctoral thesis	 (1) Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field (2) Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. (3) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results (4) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology (6) The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	 Preliminary Screening Committee: Professors and associate professors in the Department of Applied Quantum Physics and Nuclear Engineering discuss the content of the thesis based on the supervisor's explanation, approve the submission of the thesis, and finalize the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. (Approximately one week) Explanatory Presentation (Thesis Screening Committee): The members listed in column two conduct a substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. (Approximately two weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately two weeks) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. (Approximately two weeks) Application for Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies to convene the public thesis defense. (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies to convene the public thesis defense. (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: and Thesis Screening Committee: Following the public thesis defense, the members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. (Approximately one week) Thesis Review Committee (Guidance Council): A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council. (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately one week) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits t	
"gineering(Until March 2021)	Department of Mechanical Engineering	Must have one or more papers published in a peer-reviewed journal However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper(s) to be scheduled for publication (i.e. unpublished) when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date. Note that publications for internal readerships at universities or companies are not considered peer-reviewed papers in the context of application qualifications.	In accordance with the rules of the Graduate School of Engineering, the thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee Chair) will be composed of three or more members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may serve as screening committee members.	is found suitable to serve as a screening committee member for the thesis in question •When there is a researcher who has thorough	 (1) Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field (2) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant contribution in the research results (3) Contribution to the oreation of new knowledge through original research (4) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology (5) The author's compliance with research ethics 	Guidance Council: If the applicant plans for early completion owing to his/her outstanding research record, the Guidance Council approves the initiation of preparatory measures for this in consultation with all professors and associate professors qualified to supervise the thesis. 1 (Approximately one to two weeks) Preparatory Committee ((Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee): The members listed in column two conduct a substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. 1 (Generally within two weeks) Preliminary Screening Committee (Guidance Council): Members of the Guidance Council approve the submission of the thesis and finalize the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. 1 Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense) 1 (Immediately after public thesis defense) 1 (Generally within two weeks) Thesis Review Committee (Guidance Council): A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council. 1 (Approximately council. A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council. 1 (Approximately council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives takes the decision on the awarding of doctoral degrees.	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduate School of Engine	Department of Hydrogen Energy Systems	thesis, regardless of the publication date. Note that publications for internal readerships	members, and the Examination Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which	is found suitable to serve as a screening committee member for the thesis in question . When there is a researcher who has thorough	(5) The author's compliance with research ethics	Guidance Council: If the applicant plans for early completion owing to his/her outstanding research record, the Guidance Council approves the initiation of preparatory measures for this in consultation with all professors and associate professors qualified to supervise the thesis. 1 (Approximately one to two weeks) Preparatory Committee (Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee): The members listed in column two conduct a substantial preliminary screening Committee (Guidance Council): Members of the Guidance Council approve the submission of the thesis and finalize the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. 1 Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representation Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. 1 (Immediately after public thesis defense) Thesis Screening Committee (Guidance Council): A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council. 1 Resport of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Thesis Neelense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. 1 (Immediately after public thesis defense) Thesis Screening Committee (Guidance Council): A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Guidance Council. 1 Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives takes the decision on the awarding of the Guidance Council. 1 Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately	
	Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics	All of the following requirements must be met: (1) Candidates must propare a doctoral thesis considered by the faculty member expected to be the lead examiner to have novelty, originality, and sufficient academic value in a field related to the department. (2) Candidates must have two or more published papers in a peer-reviewed academic journal on the topic of the thesis. Note that one of these papers may be peer-reviewed international conference proceedings. Academic papers with relevant content are acceptable if suitable for publication (even if unpublished)	Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary.	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) When there is a faculty member outside the University who is well-suited for the objective evaluation of the novelty, originality, and academic value of the submitted doctoral thesis	advanced knowledge in the specialized field (2) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results (3) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through	 Preliminary Screening Committee: A Preliminary Screening Committee consisting of professors and associate professors of the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics will initiate the thesis screening and approve the members of the Thesis Screening Committee as listed in column two. (Approximately four weeks) Explanatory Presentation: The Thesis Screening Committee members listed in column two conduct a substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. (Approximately four weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. accouncil of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives decides whether to accept the thesis. Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. Thesis Review Committee: All professors in the department and members of the Thesis Screening Committee take the decision regarding whether the applicant passes or fails. (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives takes the decision on the awarding of doctoral degrees. 	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
G r d u a t e S c h o o	Department of Design	One or more peer-reviewed papers	The thesis screening committee consists of three or more faulty members (i.e., one Examination Committee Chair and two or more Examination the dectoral course. If necessary, faulty members of other graduate schools, departments, research institutes, etc. (at the same level as faulty members in charge of research guidance on the doctoral course) may constitute up to half of the members of the preliminary review committee.	members to the screening committee) When no one at the University has a thorough knowledge of the field under review	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research (4) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology 	Specialist Academic Affairs Committee: The Specialist Academic Affairs Committee discusses the decision of the members of the Preliminary Review Committee. ↓ (Approximately three weeks) Preliminary Review Committee: Following the decision of the Preliminary Review Committee members, the Examination Committee Chair holds a preliminary review. Report of Preliminary Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. ↓ (Approximately one week) Specialist Academic Affairs Committee: The Specialist Academic Affairs Committee discusses the acceptance of the thesis. ↓ (Approximately one month) Graduate School Council of Representatives: Members of the Council of Representatives discuss the decision of the Thesis Screening Committee. ↓ (Approximately one month)	
o o f D e s i g n	Department of Design Strategy					Public Thesis Defense: Following the decision of the Thesis Screening Committee, the Examination Committee Chair convenes the public thesis defense. At that time, the thesis screening and the final examination are held. Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. ↓ (Approximately one week) Graduate School Council of Representatives: The Graduate School Council of Representatives takes the decision on the awarding of doctoral degrees.	
G radu ate Schoool of Information Science and E		The criteria are broadly as follows: • Must have published or be scheduled to publish a total of three papers in peer- reviewed journals or proceedings of international conferences • One of the above three papers is required to be published in a peer-reviewed journal. Note that the details will depend on the field and the journal in question.	The thesis screening committee shall comprise three or more members (of which at least two members including the Examination Committee Chair must be supervisors at this graduate school). In this case, the Examination Domittee Chair shall be selected from among the supervisors of the department to which the student belongs, other than the supervisor of the student. and two or more thesis screening committee members shall be selected from the supervisors of the signaduate school or other graduate schools at this university. If deemed necessary, the associate professors responsible for classes and faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may be included in the thesis screening committee.	members to the screening committee) When the graduate university's Faculty Council deems it particularly necessary to ensure the objectivity and accuracy of the review	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Forvision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics [Reference] Except from the publicly available "Doctoral Degree Review Criteria for the Graduate School of Information Science and Electrical Engineering" (Evaluation items) Significance of the research topic Is the rationale for selecting the topic of the dissertation clearly articulated based on previous academic or social significance? Understanding and presentation of previous research When exploring the research topic, has the applicant included a comprehensive range of materials and documents that he/she has read and accurately evaluated? Further, are these sources referenced appropriately in developing the argument of the thesis? Validity of the research topic? Methods may include theory. experiments, simulations, prototypes/trials, surveys, or gathering of reference materials. Validity and significance of the arguments and conclusions Is the argument of the thesis developed clearly empirically, and logically-from establishing the topic till the conclusion? Further, do the arguments and conclusions the reveards methods Thesis style and format the tory experiments, simulations, prototypes/trials, surveys, or gathering of reference materials. Validity and significance of the arguments and conclusions the reveards methods Thesis style and format the trier dormation or the argument and conclusions derived constitute novel and original academic contributions or highly rel	Preliminary Screening Committee: Composed of the professors in each department and the associate professors qualified to supervise the thesis (no quorum) The recommended faculty member explains the contents of the thesis and selects candidates for the role of Examination Committee Chair and Assistant Examiners. After completion, the head of the department submits a preliminary screening report to the Dean of the Graduate School. ((Approximately two weeks) Explanatory Thesis Presentation: The candidates for the roles of Examination Committee Chair and Assistant Examiners consider the thesis and its content with regard to the doctoral thesis review criteria and, if necessary, provide advice on further enhancing the thesis quality. ((Approximately two weeks to two months) Application for Thesis Review: The doctoral student submits the requisite documents to the Dean of the Graduate School. ((Approximately two weeks) Senior Staff Committee: A committee of senior staff, composed of the Dean of the Graduate School, the Deputy Director, and the head of the department takes the decision of whether to accept the thesis. ((One day) Forwarding the Thesis: The Dean of the Graduate School forwards the submitted documents to the President of the University. ((Approximately two weeks) Graduate School Faculty Council: The Faculty Council establishes a Thesis Screening Committee and a Review Committee. The members of the Review Committee comprise the supervisor in the department to which the student belongs and supervisors from this Graduate School who are on the Thesis Screening Committee. Public Thesis Defense: The Dean of the Graduate School notifies the chief examiner of the Thesis Screening Committee with a copy of the instructions to conduct a review from the President. Fues Screening Committee: The Thesis Screening Committee conducts the thesis screening and the final examination, prepares a report on the results of the thesis screening, and submits it to the chair of the Thesis Review Committee (head of the	Special Cases for Thesis Review Committee • If the student is employed by Kyushu University through funding of a research project represented by their supervisor, an agreement has been established whereby the supervisor may be excluded from the Thesis Review Committee. (Ensuring objectivity of review)
- I e c t r i c a I E n g i n e e r i n g	Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering				level of a doctoral thesis for all five evaluation items cited above.	examination and votes on whether the thesis passes or fails. (Quorum 2/3, resolutions 3/4 or more) ↓ (Approximately one week) Faculty Council: The Faculty Council takes the decision on the awarding of the doctoral degree based on the report of the Review Committee (quorum 2/3 or more). ↓ (One day) Report on Review Results: The Dean of the Graduate School submits a report on the results of the thesis review and one copy of the main thesis to the President.	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Interrdiscipliand	Department of Applied Science for	Must have two (2) or more papers published in peer-reviewed academic journals. However, it is acceptable for the paper(s) accepted for publication (i.e. in press) when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date. Those who have been enrolled in the doctoral program for at least two years, have obtained the required credits, and have received the required research guidance, may submit a doctoral thesis. Those with an outstanding record may submit their thesis after a shorter enrollment period. The "outstanding record" is considered to include at least four (4) papers accepted by world-class journals. Note that three (3) or more important patents related to an academic paper may be substituted for one published academic paper. In addition, those who have withdrawn from the doctoral program with the completion of course requirements may apply for their doctoral thesis and pass the review and examination within three years of the withdrawal.	The Thesis Screening Committee (including the Screening Committee Chair) shall be composed of three (3) or more members, and the Screening Committee Chair will be a supervisor in the department to which the student belongs. The Thesis Screening Committee shall include one (1) or more supervisors from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may serve as Screening Committee members.	other graduate schools or research	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field. Chritical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and performing of research and relevant research results. Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research. Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology. The researcher's compliance with research ethics. 	Supervisory Council: All professors who are qualified to supervise in the Department of Applied Science for Electronics and Materials and also the associate professors qualified to supervise the thesis in question meet to approve the initiation of preparatory measures for the application process. I (approximately two weeks) Preparatory Council (Provisional) Thesis Soreoning Committee): The members listed in column two (2) conduct a substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. I (approximately one week) Proliminary Soreoning Committee (Supervisory Council): The members of the Supervisory Council approve the submission of the thesis and finalize the members of the Thesis Soreoning Committee. I (approximately one week) Application for Thesis Review: The Soreoning Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. I (in principle, the deadline for submission is 10 days before the Graduate School Faculty Council meeting.) Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the decision regarding whether to accept the thesis. I (in principle. 10 days or more after the decision to accept the thesis) Thesis Soreoning Committee: The members listed in column two (2) conduct the Thesis Soreoning and the Final Examination. I (conducted after Public Thesis Defense and before the Thesis Review Committee meeting) Report of Soreoning Results: The Soreoning Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the Head of Departmental Council (Thesis Review Committee): The members of the Departmental Council take the decision regarding whether the application passes or fails. Thereafter, the Council submits in result of its decision to the relevant office. I (the deadline for submitting a report on the doctoral thesis review (pass/fail results) is approximately three weeks Soreoni Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the doctoral degree.	
School of Engineering Sciences		As a general rule, at least two papers must be published or accepted in a peer reviewed scientific journal.	One Examination Committee Chair (a supervisor) and two or more Examiners (one of whom does not belong to the Department of Molecular and Material Sciences)	the field related to the thesis and is deemed necessary for the thesis review, he/she may be included in the screening committee.	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	Departmental Council: The supervisor shall establish a Thesis Screening Committee. A Departmental Council consisting of professors and associate professors qualified to supervise in the Department of Molecular and Material Sciences circulates a list of the doctoral thesis applicant's academic achievements and reaches an agreement regarding initiating the doctoral thesis application. (The applicant may also bescheduled to be published in at least two journals or conference proceedings as an author when applying for a doctoral thesis.) I (Approximately one week to one year) Preliminary Screening Committee: The Thesis Screening Committee reviews the thesis and conducts a hearing wherein the applicant gives an oral presentation on the content of their thesis. The Committee then discusses whether the thesis can be submitted. I (Approximately one week to two months) Departmental Council: The Departmental Council circulates and peruses the preliminary review reports and the doctoral thesis (temporary binding permissible). I (Approximately one to no weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Screening Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. I Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council determines takes the decision regarding whether to accept the thesis. I Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Screening Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. I Public Thesis Defense: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. I Report of Screening Results: The Screening Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the head of dipartment. I Report of Screening Results: The Screening Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the head of dipartment. I Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council submits the result of its decision to the relevant office. I Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council ta	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees
Interdisciplinary Graduuate Schoool	Department of Advanced Energy Engineering Science	Applicants are required to have one peer- reviewed publications as the first author at least. Applicants being mature doctoral students are required to have published at least, one peer-reviewed papers containing the contents of their thesis as the first author during their enrollment period. Three patents related to their thesis are approved to count as one peer-reviewed paper for which the applicant is the first author of engineering" (The applicant does not have to be the first author of the patents).	The thesis screening committee is organized by three or more members including a chief reviewer who must be a supervisor in the applicant's department and one or more supervisors in other departments or graduate schools. As the occasion demands, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes in other universities can serve as the screening committee members.	(What is the occasion to assign the external faculty members as the screening committee?) In compliance with the graduate school's regulations.	 (1) To understand fundamental knowledge and expertise in the special field of study. (3) To be proactive in researching and to contribute significantly to the research. (4) To create imaginative knowledge through the original research (5) To provide a scientifically accurate description of the achievement (6) To comply fully with the research ethics 	To start the review process, the applicant's supervisor submits the applicant' papers, and a summary of the thesis content and the thesis abstract in supervis department. The Supervisory Council approves to set up a thesis screening comm based on the submitted documents. (.) The doctoral thesis (draft) must be distributed to the members of the thesis as approximately one week before the preliminary screening organized by the of the the preliminary screening of the thesis is executed by the thesis screening com the thesis screening committee provides a review report for the thesis in The S Supervisory Council approves to submit the requisite documents to the relevant of Graduate School Faculty Council meeting. The Graduate School Faculty Council me the thesis to review formally, based on the submitted documents. I The thesis screening committee must organize the Public Thesis Defense after 10 School Faculty Council makes a decision regarding whether the application pass three weeks after the thesis is formally received to review. Thereafter, the S result of its decision to the relevant office before 10 days from the Graduate Graduate School Faculty Council makes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the school Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the tawarding the school facult takes take take tak
f E n e e r i n g S c i e n c e s	Department of Energy and Environmental Engineering	The candidate must have at least two ore more papers published in per-reviewed journals prior to thesis submission. However, relevant paper(s) scheduled for publication (i.e. unpublished but accepted) are acceptable when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date. (Required credits are missing for instance ASEM described credit requirements)	The thesis screening committee (including the Examination Committee (hair) shall consist of three or more members. The supervisor of the student will be the Chair of the Examination Committee. The thesis screening committee shall include one or more examiners from other departments or graduate schools. Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools (Universities) or research institutes may serve as the members of the screening committee.	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) If the person in question is an expert in the relevant field, with oredentials comparable to those required for membership on the graduate faculty and is deemed necessary for the thesis review.	 Whether the candidate successfully demonstrates the detailed knowledge of original sources, has a through knowledge of the field, and understand the main theoretical and methodological issues. Whether the candidate demonstrates that the methods used have been chosen through a conscious process of deliberation: and that the criteria for, and advantages and disadvantages of, particular choices of the method out a focal research subject as an independent researcher. Whether the candidate has contributed to building new knowledge through the original research. Whether the candidate can make appropriate provisions for sufficient explanation with scientifically accurate terminology. Whether the candidate has substantial compliance with fair research ethics. 	Preparatory Committee ((Provisional) Thesis Screening Committee): Members lists substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. 1 (Approximately one week) Preliminary Screening Committee (Supervisory Council): Members of the Supervosc of the thesis and the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. 1 (Approximately one week) Application for Thesis Review: The Screening Committee Chair submits the requis office. 1 Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council decides of 1 Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis revie applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. 1 Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis examination. 1 Report of Screening Results: The Screening Committee Chair submits the requisit department. 1 Departmental Council (Thesis Review Committee): Members of the Departmental Council Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council decides of 1 Report of Screening Results: The Screening Committee Chair submits the requisit department. 1 Departmental Council (Thesis Review Committee): Members of the Departmental Councases or fails. After that, the Council submits the result of its decision to 1 Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council decides or degree.

	5. Remarks
icant's resume, a list of published pervisory council in the applicant's committee described in column two	
sis screening committee until of the thesis screening committee	
ng committee.	
The Supervisory Council. The Faculty Council meeting.	
nt office until 10 days before the cil makes a judge whether to receive	
ter 10 days or more from the Graduate amination.	
n passes or fails within approximately the Supervisory Council submits the duate School Faculty Council. The of the doctoral degree.	
listed in column two conduct a	Guideline for a doctoral student that
ervosory Council approve the submission	explains the requirements of a doctoral thesis and the process of screening have been prepared in Japanese and English as well and has been published on the Department website.
requisite documents to the relevant	More detail infomation is available at; http://www.eee.kyushu- u.ac.jp/eee/e_lecture/pdf/180621_PhD%20roa
des on the acceptance of the thesis.	dmap%20and%20requirements-EEE.pdf
review, the Screening Committee Chair	
thesis screening and the final	
quisite documents to the head of the	
al Council decide whether the candidate on to the relevant office.	
des on the awarding of the doctoral	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Engineering Sciences	Department of Earth System Science and Technology	The applicant is required to have one or more peer-reviewed papers as the first author. However, it is acceptable for the relevant paper(s) to be scheduled for publication when applying for a doctoral thesis, regardless of the publication date. Note that publications for internal builetins at universities are not considered peer-reviewed papers in the context of application qualifications.	The thesis screening committee is composed of three or more members including the committee chair who must be a supervisor in the applicant's department and one or more faculty members with supervisor qualification in other departments or graduate schools. If necessary, faculty members of graduate schools or research institutes at other universities can serve as the screening committee members.	faculty members to the screening committee) When there is no expert in other departments or other graduate schools who can review the thesis and the Graduate School Faculty Council deems it particularly necessary.	 Research results derive from work made independently by applicant. The thesis includes content that clearly demonstrates novelty and that can make an academic contribution to the development in the field. Evaluation of previous research and factual investigation are appropriate, and the academic or social positioning of the thesis is indicated clearly. Research method is clearly and specifically described. Development of analysis and discussion is logically explained, and results are presented clearly. Quotations are used suitably, and the thesis format is suitable for a published paper. The applicant has presented the thesis content and responded to questions in the public defense in a logical and clear manner. 	(Approximately four weeks) 1. Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct a substantial preliminary screening of the thesis. 1 (Approximately two weeks) 2. Preliminary Screening (Supervisory Council): The members of the Supervisory Council in the department approve the submission of the thesis and the members of the thesis screening committee. 1 (Approximately two weeks) 3. Application for Thesis Review: The thesis screening committee chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 1 (In principle, the deadline for submission is 10 days before the Graduate School Faculty Council meeting.) 4. Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council determines the decision regarding whether to accept the thesis. 1 (In principle, 10 days or more after the decision to accept the thesis) 6. Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. 1 (Conducted after public thesis defense and before the Supervisory Council meeting) 7. Report of Screening Results: The screening committee chair submits the requisite documents to the head of department. 3. Bepartmental Council [Supervisory Council] (Thesis Review Committee): The members of the Supervisory Council in the department take the decision regarding whether the application passes or fails. Thereafter, the Council submits the result of its decision to the relevant office. 1 (The deadline for submitting a report on the doctoral thesis review (pass/fail results) is approximately fraduate School Faculty Council: Takes its decision regarding the awarding of the doctoral degree. 9. Graduate School Faculty Council: Takes its decision feading the deadline for submission is 10 days before the Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the decision regarding the awarding of the doctoral degree.	
G r d Ba i t	Department of Bioresource Sciences (Agricultural Sciences Education Course)	While applying for a doctoral thesis, a doctoral student must have one or more published papers as the first author in a peer- reviewed journal. In addition, for a doctoral degree by thesis only. The applicant is required to have five or more publications in peer-reviewed academic journals (three or more as the first author) or achievements equivalent to this.	(Screening system) The screening committee shall be composed of three or more members (i.e., one Examination Committee Chair and two or more Examiners). The members shall be elected by the Council of Department Heads and shall be approved by the Faculty Council of the graduate school. (Note that, if necessary, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may serve as screening committee members.)	(Conditions of appointment of external faculty members to the screening committee) If a scholar who best understands the dissertation content and who can rigorously examine it belongs to another university, he/she may be requested to become a screening committee member.	 Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results of the control of the search and relevant research results of the control of the search and original research (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	Preliminary Screening Committee (Guidance Council): Members of the Guidance Council approve the submission of the thesis and the members of the Thesis Screening Committee. - 4 (Approximately two weeks) Application for Thesis Review: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. 4 (Approximately one week) Council of Department Heads: The Council of Department Heads makes a decision regarding the acceptance of the thesis. 4 (Approximately one week)	Information on the previous majors, educational courses, and review system for students enloled in 2018 or before can be found at the link to the previous policy on the website of the Graduate School of Bioresource and Environmental Sciences. https://www.agr.kyushu- u.ac.jp/for_graduate/regulation/
oe nSvch rooo mI eoft aB	Department of Bioresource Sciences (Animal and Marine Bisciences Education Course)	While applying for a doctoral thesis, a doctoral student must have one or more published papers as the first author in a peer- reviewed journal. In addition, for a doctoral degree by thesis only. The applicant is required to have five or more publications in peer-reviewed academic journals including international journals (three or more publications as the first author) or achievements equivalent to this.				Public Thesis Defense: After receiving instructions to carry out a thesis review, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. Thesis Screening Committee: The members listed in column two conduct the thesis screening and the final examination. Thesis Review Committee (Guidance Council): A decision regarding whether the application passes or fails is made by members of the Departmental Council. ↓ (Approximately three days) Report of Review Results: The Examination Committee Chair submits the requisite documents to the relevant office. ↓ (Approximately 10 days)	\$
l Sr ce s c v c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	Department of Agro-environmental Sciences (Forest Environmental Science Education Course)	While applying for a doctoral thesis, a doctoral student must have two or more published papers as the first author in a peer- reviewed journal. In addition, for a doctoral degree by thesis only, the applicant must have five or more published papers as the first author in a peer-reviewed journal.				Graduate School Faculty Council: The Graduate School Faculty Council takes the decision on the awarding of doctoral degrees.	
s e a n d	Department of Agro-environmental Sciences (Bioproduction and Environmental Science Education)	While applying for a doctoral thesis, a doctoral student must have one or more published papers as the first author in a peer- reviewed journal. In addition, for a doctoral degree by thesis only. the applicant must have three or more published papers as the first author in a peer-reviewed journal.					

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
a G n r d d B u i +	Department of Agro-environmental Sciences (Sustainable Bioresources Science Education)	While applying for a doctoral thesis, a doctoral student must have two or more published papers as the first author in a peer- reviewed journal. In addition, for a doctoral degree by thesis only, the applicant must have five or more published papers as the first author in a peer-reviewed journal.					
e e n S v c h r o o n I m e o	Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics	While applying for a doctoral thesis, a doctoral student must have two or more published papers as the first author in an academic journal or one or more published papers as the first author in a peer-reviewed academic journal. In addition, for a doctoral degree by thesis only, the applicant must have five or more published papers as the first author in a peer-reviewed journal.					
n f a B l i s r c e s e o u c r c s e	Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology	In applying for a doctoral thesis, a doctoral student must have one or more published papers as the first author in a peer-reviewed journal in a European language, or an acceptance for publication of the same. In addition, for a doctoral degree by thesis only, the applicant is required to have five or more publications in peer-reviewed academic journals (three or more as the first author), or an acceptance for publication of the same.					
Graduate School of Integrated Frontier Sciences	Department of Kansei Science	doctoral thesis, and the supervisor must have	The thesis screening committee shall be composed of three or more members (one Examination Committee Chair and two or more Examiners). The Examination Committee Chair of the screening committee will be the chief supervisor of the individual submitting the thesis, and in addition to full-time faculty members of the Graduate School of Integrated Frontier Sciences, faculty members of other graduate schools or research institutes may be added to the committee as Examiners.	members to the screening committee) When it is deemed appropriate to request an external expert to become an examiner in light of the specialist field of the thesis	 Specifically, the department stipulates the following five evaluation items. The thesis must generally meet criteria (1), (2), (4), and (5) above. Criteria (3) and (6) are not specified but are reviewed as prerequisite criteria. I. Significance of the research topic Is the rationale for selecting the topic of the dissertation clearly articulated based on previous academic achievements in the field and recognized as having academic or social significance? Understanding and presentation of previous research thems read and accurately evaluated? Further, are these sources referenced appropriately in developing the argument of the thesis? Validity of the research method Are the these read and accurately evaluated? Further, are these sources reference materials. Validity of the research method Are the research method sued paperopriately. Methods may include theory. experiments, simulations, prototypes/trials, surveys, or gathering of reference materials. Validity and significance of the arguments and conclusions are inclusions and original academic contributions or highly relevant social contributions in this field? Thesis style and format Are the research sources and other materials correctly quoted, and are the sources of figures and tables, etc. made clear? 	Application for Preliminary Screening: With the approval of the supervisor, the degree applicant submits the application to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately two weeks) Departmental Operation Meeting: The Examination Committee Chair of the Preparatory Screening Committee explains the content of the thesis and the composition of the Preliminary Screening Committee (Examiners) at a departmental operation meeting. The head of the department establishes a Preliminary Screening Committee following the discussion at the departmental operation meeting. 1 (Approximately two to six weeks) Preliminary Screening Committee: The Preliminary Screening Committee conducts a preliminary screening of the thesis and provides necessary supervision for the thesis. If the thesis is deemed acceptable, the Examination Committee Chair submits a report to the relevant office. 1 (Approximately two weeks) Departmental Operation Meeting/Graduate School Faculty Council: The Examination Committee Chair of the Preparatory Screening Committee explains the reasons for accepting the thesis at a departmental operation meeting, in the decision regarding whether to accept the thesis is taken. The head of the department provides an explanation regarding the acceptance of the thesis at a Faculty Council meeting, in which the decision regarding whether on not the thesis Screening Committee: After receiving a review referral from the President of the University, the Examination Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense. The Thesis Screening Committee Chair applies for and convenes the public thesis defense, and the Examination Committee Chair submits to the relevant office the necessary documents pertaining to the pass/fail judgment. 1 (Approximately one week)	

Graduate Schools	Department	1. Doctoral thesis submission criteria	2-1. Doctoral thesis review system	2-2. Doctoral thesis review system (for cases where faculty members from outside Kyushu University are members of the screening committee)	3. Doctoral thesis review criteria	4. Process of awarding of degrees	5. Remarks
Graduuate Schoool of Integrated Frontier Sciences	Department of Automotive Science	Applicants must have published one or more peer-reviewed papers (including those scheduled for publication) in an academic journal related to the content of the doctoral thesis, and the supervisor must have confirmed that the thesis has reached the standard of a doctoral thesis.	Doctoral theses will be reviewed by a panel of one Examination Committee Chair and two or more Examiners.		 Significance of the research topic Is the rationale for selecting the topic of the dissertation clearly articulated based on previous academic achievements in the field and recognized as having academic or social significance? Understanding and presentation of previous research When exploring the research topic, has the applicant included a comprehensive range of materials and documents that he/she has read and accurately evaluated? Further, are these sources referenced appropriately in developing the argument of the thesis? Validity of the research method Are the research methods used appropriately and effectively for investigating the research topic, hew your effectively and logically-from establishing the topic till the conclusion? Further, do the arguments and conclusions is the argument of the thesis developed clearly, empirically, and logically-from establishing the topic till the conclusion? Further, do the arguments and conclusions derived constitute novel and original academic contributions or righty relevant social contributions of the thesis appropriate? Thesis Style and format Are the terminology and phrasing of the thesis appropriate? Thesis will be accepted when recognized as having reached the level of a doctoral thesis for all five evaluation items cited above. 	A Thesis Screening Committee Public Thesis Defense A Thesis Screening Committee/Public Thesis Defense A Thesis Screening Committee/Public Thesis Defense (Approximately three weeks)	
	Department of Library Science	In principle, the doctoral dissertation review may be initiated if any of the following requirements are met: • The applicant has published a total of three or more papers in peer-reviewed international conferences, or peer-reviewed international conferences that are considered to have made major contributions to topics related to the doctoral thesis. Of the above, one or more must be a paper in a peer-reviewed journal. • The applicant has published two or more papers in peer-reviewed academic journals on themes related to the doctoral dissertation (these two papers must be single authorship). Remarks: • A peer-reviewed academic journal is one for which an overview of the peer review process is made publicly available by some means. However, the scope of what is considered a paper in an academic journal will be discussed in each instance. • Papers accepted for publication such as those with proof of publication or a notice of acceptance may be counted as valid for applications. • The writing and publishing of books in the relevant field of study can be regarded as equivalent to peer-reviewed journal articles. However, these should be published, and should be recognized as having high academic is quarted to scale reviewed in academic journals. • For applications for doctoral thesis reviews, with the early completion of a doctoral dissertation reviews soft the Graduate School of Integrated Frontier Sciences (With Distinction). The departmental management meeting will consider whether or not the condiduce actifies the "outstanding research record" condition for early completion qualifications and decide whether early completion is acceptable based on the ill. Only in cases deemed acceptable will the establishment of a Preliminary Screening Committee be considered and the qualifications for submission of a thesis be granted to the applicant.	participate in the preliminary and final examinations. The applicant's supervisor will be the Examination Committee Chair. The preliminary screening committee is composed of one Examination Committee Chair and two or more Examiners from this department. Depending on the research topic or field, faculty members of other departments, graduate schools, or research institutes, etc. may be included, as necessary. However, faculty members from outside the department may not exceed two, and the total number may not exceed five.	members to the screening committee) Discussion will take place at a departmental	 (1) Demonstration of an understanding of foundational and advanced knowledge in the specialized field (2) Critical analysis, evaluation, and integration of existing ideas and concepts has been achieved in the thesis. (3) Independent planning and carrying out of research and relevant research results (4) Contribution to the creation of new knowledge through original research. (5) Provision of explanations that use scientifically accurate terminology (6) The researcher's compliance with research ethics 	Interim presentations of the doctoral thesis (Advanced presentation practice on library science will be conducted in each semester in the first and second years of the doctoral, course, and all faulty members on the doctoral course will provide members of the doctoral course and are positioned as interim presentations. These who wish to make presentations in the third year may do so and receive supervision.) Submission qualifications (If the requirements for starting the review process of a doctoral thesis are satisfied, the supplicant submission documents for thesis submission qualifications the spolicant obcuments for thesis submission qualifications the spolicant obcuments and the consent of the chief (The to four weeks) Establishment of the Preliminary Screening Committee (Once the applicant in the antion regarding the Preliminary Screening Committee is chain shall provide an ophismation regarding the Preliminary Screening Committee is chained to the requirements and thesis took: The Preliminary Screening Committee chain runde and in fis(s) of academic abiterests and thesis took: The Preliminary Screening Committee is chained and the second the second in the submission qualifications that the total mather may not exceed five. This will be established in the departmental operation meeting with the establisheet of the Preliminary Screening Committee and the second thesis took. The second the second the second the second thesis took and the second thesis took and the second thesis and the second thesis and the second the second thesis took and the second th	Advanced presentation practice on library science will be conducted in each semester in the first and second years of a doctoral course, and all faculty members of the doctoral graduate student participates in a presentation seminar, their submissions will be recorded. The Advanced presentation practice on library science is group-based supervision effort for doctoral theses provided by faculty members of the doctoral course and is positioned as interim presentations.